arXiv:math/0607069v4 [math.AT] 9 Jun 2009

TORSION AND ABELIANIZATION IN EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY

TARA S. HOLM AND REYER SJAMAAR

Dedicated to Bertram Kostant on the occasion of his eightieth birthday

Abstract. Let X be a topological space upon which a compact connected Lie group G acts. It is well-known that the equivariant cohomology $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{Q})$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of Weyl group invariants of the equivariant cohomology $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{Q})$, where T is a maximal torus of G. This relationship breaks down for coefficient rings $\mathbf{k}$ other than $\mathbf{Q}$. Instead, we prove that under a mild condition on $\mathbf{k}$ the algebra $H^*_G(X,\mathbf{k})$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $H^*_T(X,\mathbf{k})$ annihilated by the divided difference operators.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Divided difference operators in equivariant cohomology 2
2. Equivariant cohomology and the torsion index 13
3. Orthogonality 20
4. Weyl invariants for groups of low rank 22
5. Homogeneous spaces 24
References 29

Introduction

Consider a topological space X and a compact connected Lie group G acting continuously on X. A useful invariant of the G-space X is Borel's equivariant cohomology algebra $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$ with coefficients in a commutative ring $\mathbf{k}$. Let T be a maximal torus of G. It is well-known, and easy to see, how the G-equivariant cohomology relates to the T-equivariant cohomology, at least when $\mathbf{k}$ is the field of the rationals $\mathbf{Q}$, namely the projection $p_X\colon X_T \to X_G$ induces an isomorphism from $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{Q})$ to the Weyl group invariants in $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{Q})$. (See for example the standard references [2, 12, 18].)

The aim of this paper is to clarify the situation for more general coefficient rings $\mathbf{k}$. In general, the map p_X^* from $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$ to $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})^W$ is neither injective nor surjective. (See Example 1.13 and Section 4 for examples.) Our first main result, Theorem 1.10, says that the action of the Weyl group W on $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$ extends to an action of Demazure's algebra of divided difference operators (which is called the nil Hecke ring in [19]).[1] Our second main result, Theorem 2.6, is valid under the

---

Date: 30 March 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55N91 (14M15, 55R40, 57T15).

Key words and phrases. Equivariant cohomology, Schubert polynomials, homogeneous spaces.

The first author was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-0604807. The second author was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-0504641.

[1] Note added in proof: it has recently come to our attention that this result was obtained previously by Dale Peterson (unpublished).

assumption that a few special primes associated with the group G are invertible in $\mathbf{k}$. This assumption ensures that p_X^* is injective. Theorem 2.6 states that the image of p_X^* consists of the T-equivariant classes that are annihilated by all divided difference operators. However, there is a fairly natural condition, stated in Theorem 2.10, under which the image of p_X^* is equal to $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^W$, namely that the roots of G should not be zero divisors in the module $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$.

The main applications given here are the results of Section 5, which generalize classical theorems of Borel on the cohomology of equal-rank homogeneous spaces. In future work we hope to apply our results to the theory of Hamiltonian actions.

All these facts have parallels in certain other equivariant cohomology theories, such as equivariant Chow theory and equivariant K-theory, which we intend to explore in a sequel to this paper. Indeed, the Chow theory analogue of Theorem 1.10 was established by Brion in [11].

This work is based on Grothendieck's notion of the torsion index, introduced in [16], and on the calculus of the divided difference operators, which was initiated by Newton and a modern version of which can be found in Demazure's papers [13, 14] and Bernstein, Gelfand and Gelfand's paper [5]. Our construction of the divided difference operators as "push-pull" operators in T-equivariant cohomology generalizes observations made by various authors, including Akyıldız and Carrell in [1], Arabia in [3], Bressler and Evens in [10], and Kostant and Kumar in [19]. In fact, our paper can be regarded as an effort to extend some of the results of [10, 13] from a space consisting of a single point to arbitrary G-spaces. We learned about the torsion index from Totaro's recent work [26, 27]. Though we do not use much of the information on the torsion index unearthed by him (it suffices for us to know that it exists and what its prime factors are), we exploit some of his techniques, especially in our results on equal-rank homogeneous spaces.

We are grateful to the American Institute of Mathematics for its hospitality and to Joseph Wolf for several helpful discussions. We thank Michel Brion and Sam Evens for their incisive comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

1. Divided difference operators in equivariant cohomology

Equivariant cohomology. Throughout this paper, G will denote a compact connected Lie group with maximal torus T and Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/T$, X will denote a topological space equipped with a continuous (left) G-action, and $\mathbf{k}$ will denote a commutative ring with identity. Borel and others have found close relationships between torsion in the integral cohomology of G and that of its classifying space BG. The results of this section and the next are applications of their work. Many special cases of these results are well-known and go back to Borel's foundational paper [6]. Our presentation relies on Demazure's work [13] and Bressler and Evens' work [10], the methods of which enable us to express the G-equivariant cohomology of X as the submodule of the T-equivariant cohomology annihilated by a certain ideal of operators.

Let X_G be the Borel homotopy quotient $EG \times^G X$ of X and let $H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k}) = H^*(X_G;\mathbf{k})$ be the G-equivariant singular cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$. Similarly, we have the T-equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k}) = H^*(X_T;\mathbf{k})$, where $X_T =$

$EG \times^T X$. The canonical map

$$p_X \colon X_T \twoheadrightarrow X_G$$

is a locally trivial fibre bundle with fibre G/T. It will be convenient to choose a preferred fibre of p_X. Choose a basepoint $e_0 \in EG$ and (if X is nonempty) a basepoint $x_0 \in X$, and define $i_X \colon G/T \hookrightarrow X_T$ by $i_X(gT) = T(g^{-1}e_0, g^{-1}x_0)$. Then i_X is an embedding and its image is the fibre of p_X over $G(e_0, x_0)$. If X is a single point, in which case $X_T = BT$ and $X_G = BG$, we write $i_X = i$ and $p_X = p$. Writing $\mathrm{pr}_T \colon X_T \to BT$ and $\mathrm{pr}_G \colon X_G \to BG$ for the canonical projections, we have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_T \arrow[r, "p_X"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_T"'] & X_G \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}_G"] \\ BT \arrow[r, "p"] & BG, \end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

in which the vertical maps are fibre bundles with fibre X and the horizontal maps are fibre bundles with fibre G/T.

Let $\mathcal{X}(T) = \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbf{U}(1))$ be the character group of T. Let $S = S(\mathcal{X}(T))$ be the symmetric algebra (over the integers) of $\mathcal{X}(T)$ and S^W the subalgebra of Weyl group invariants. The inclusion map $S^W \to S$ is split injective and hence the induced map

$$S^W \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k} \longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}$$

is split injective. For any $\mathbf{Z}$-module M, let us write $M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k} = M_{\mathbf{k}}$. We shall identify $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$ with its image in $S_{\mathbf{k}}$. For each character $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}(T)$, let $L(\lambda)$ be a copy of $\mathbf{C}$ equipped with the T-action $(t, z) \mapsto \lambda(t)z$. We view $L(\lambda)$ as a T-equivariant complex line bundle over a point and let $L_X(\lambda) = (ET \times X \times L(\lambda))/T$ be its extension to X_T. The map $\mathcal{X}(T) \to H^2_T(X; \mathbf{Z})$ which sends λ to $c_1(L_X(\lambda); \mathbf{Z})$, the Chern class of $L_X(\lambda)$, induces a ring homomorphism $S \to H^*_T(X; \mathbf{Z})$. Extending scalars to $\mathbf{k}$ gives a degree-doubling homomorphism of $\mathbf{k}$-algebras

$$c_X \colon S_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k}),$$

called the *characteristic homomorphism* of X. The characteristic homomorphism of a point $S_{\mathbf{k}} \to H^*_T(\mathrm{pt}; \mathbf{k}) = H^*(BT; \mathbf{k})$ is an isomorphism and from now on we shall identify $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $H^*(BT; \mathbf{k})$ via this isomorphism. When no confusion can arise, we shall write ua for a product $c_X(u)a$ of elements $u \in S_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $a \in H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$.

Divided differences. The natural Weyl group action on X_T induces a W-action on $H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$ and this combines with the $S_{\mathbf{k}}$-module structure to make $H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$ a module over the *crossed product* or *twisted group algebra* $S_{\mathbf{k}}[W]$, which is the free $S_{\mathbf{k}}$-module on W with multiplication given by

$$\Bigl(\sum_{w \in W} u_w w\Bigr) \cdot \Bigl(\sum_{w' \in W} u'_{w'} w'\Bigr) = \sum_{w, w' \in W} u_w w(u'_{w'}) w w'.$$

Our first main result, Theorem 1.10 below, says that the $S_{\mathbf{k}}[W]$-action on $H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$ extends naturally to an action of the algebra of divided difference operators. For type A root systems, these operators go back to Newton's Principia [24, Book III, Lemma V]. As far as we know, the definition for general root systems goes back to [5] and [13, 14]. We will mostly follow the treatment given in [13, 14], although we adopt some of the conventions and notations of [21]. Let $R \subseteq \mathcal{X}(T)$ be the root system of (G, T). For every $\alpha \in R$, let $G_\alpha = Z_G(\ker(\alpha))$ be the centralizer in G of the codimension one closed subgroup of T fixed by α. Then G_α has root system $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$. Let

$$p_{\alpha,X}\colon X_T \to X_{G_\alpha}$$

be the corresponding projection with fibre G_α/T. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be the Lie algebra of G_α and let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ be the root space of α. The map $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \to \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}^\alpha$ obtained by composing the inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ with the canonical projection $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}^\alpha$ induces an $\mathbf{R}$-linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha/\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}^\alpha$. This gives us a complex structure on the tangent bundle of $G_\alpha/T \cong \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^1$ and hence a natural orientation on the fibres of $p_{\alpha,X}$. Similarly, we orient the fibres of p_X by choosing a basis of R and by identifying the tangent space at the identity coset of G/T with the sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_+} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}^\alpha$, where R_+ is the set of positive roots. (This sign convention differs from that of [10].) With the orientations so defined, let

$$p_{X,*}\colon H_T^*(X; \mathbf{k}) \to H_G^*(X; \mathbf{k}), \quad p_{\alpha,X,*}\colon H_T^*(X; \mathbf{k}) \to H_{G_\alpha}^*(X; \mathbf{k})$$

be the corresponding Gysin homomorphisms. Let $N = |R_+| = \frac{1}{2}\dim(G/T)$. Define operations δ of degree $-2N$ and δ_α of degree -2 on $H_T^*(X; \mathbf{k})$ by

$$\delta = p_X^* p_{X,*} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_\alpha = p_{\alpha,X}^* p_{\alpha,X,*}.$$

Occasionally we shall write $\delta = \delta_X$ and $\delta_\alpha = \delta_{\alpha,X}$ to emphasize the dependence on X. These operations are functorial in two different ways.

1.1. **Lemma.** *The operations δ and δ_α, for every root α, are contravariant with respect to G-equivariant continuous maps and covariant with respect to G-equivariant proper oriented maps.*

Proof. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ a G-equivariant continuous map and let $f_T\colon X_T \to Y_T$ and $f_G\colon X_G \to Y_G$ be the maps induced by f. The square

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_T \arrow[r, "p_X"] \arrow[d, "f_T"'] & X_G \arrow[d, "f_G"] \\ Y_T \arrow[r, "p_Y"] & Y_G \end{tikzcd}$$

is Cartesian, so $p_{X,*} f_T^* = f_G^* p_{Y,*}$ by the base-change formula. By naturality, $f_T^* p_Y^* = p_X^* f_G^*$, so

$$\delta_X f_T^* = p_X^* p_{X,*} f_T^* = p_X^* f_G^* p_{Y,*} = f_T^* p_Y^* p_{Y,*} = f_T^* \delta_Y.$$

Similarly, $\delta_{\alpha,X} f_T^* = f_T^* \delta_{\alpha,Y}$ for all $\alpha \in R$. If f is proper and oriented, we get $p_{Y,*} f_{T,*} = f_{G,*} p_{X,*}$ by naturality and $f_{T,*} p_X^* = p_Y^* f_{G,*}$ by base change, which gives $\delta_Y f_{T,*} = f_{T,*} \delta_X$. Similarly, $\delta_{\alpha,Y} f_{T,*} = f_{T,*} \delta_{\alpha,X}$ for all α. QED

Applying this result to the constant map $X \to \mathrm{pt}$ we obtain $\delta_X(u \cdot 1) = \delta_{\mathrm{pt}}(u) \cdot 1$ and $\delta_{\alpha,X}(u \cdot 1) = \delta_{\alpha,\mathrm{pt}}(u) \cdot 1$ for all polynomials $u \in S_{\mathbf{k}}$, where $1 \in H_T^0(X; \mathbf{k})$ is the identity element.

1.2. **Lemma.** *Let* α *be a root. Then* $\delta_\alpha(p^*_{\alpha,X}(b)a) = p^*_{\alpha,X}(b)\delta_\alpha(a)$ *for all* $a \in H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$ *and* $b \in H^*_{G_\alpha}(X;\mathbf{k})$*. Hence* $\delta_\alpha(p^*_{\alpha,X}(b)) = 0$ *and* $\delta^2_\alpha = 0$*.*

Proof. It follows from the projection formula that

$$\delta_\alpha(p^*_{\alpha,X}(b)a) = p^*_{\alpha,X}p_{\alpha,X,*}(p^*_{\alpha,X}(b)a) = p^*_{\alpha,X}(bp_{\alpha,X,*}(a)) = p^*_{\alpha,X}(b)\delta_\alpha(a).$$

In particular, $\delta_\alpha(p^*_{\alpha,X}(b)) = p^*_{\alpha,X}(b)\delta_\alpha(1) = 0$, because $\delta\alpha$ is of degree -2. The identity $\delta^2_\alpha = 0$ follows immediately from this. QED

1.3. **Remark.** Let $\bar{p}_X \colon X/T \to X/G$, $q_T \colon X_T \to X/T$ and $q_G \colon X_G \to X/G$ be the natural projections. If G acts freely on X, then X/T is an oriented fibre bundle over X/G, so we can define an operator $\bar{\delta}$ on $H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$ by $\bar{\delta} = \bar{p}^*_X\bar{p}_{X,*}$. Moreover, the square

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_T \arrow[r, "p_X"] \arrow[d, "q_T"'] & X_G \arrow[d, "q_G"] \\ X/T \arrow[r, "\bar{p}_X"] & X/G \end{tikzcd}$$

is Cartesian and q^*_T is an isomorphism. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 1.1 we find $\delta q^*_T = q^*_T\bar{\delta}$, so the natural transformations δ and $\bar{\delta}$ are isomorphic. For this reason we shall identify the natural transformations δ and $\bar{\delta}$ on the category of free G-spaces. Likewise, for any root α, we let $\bar{p}_{\alpha,X} \colon X/T \to X/G_\alpha$ be the natural projection and define $\bar{\delta}_\alpha = \bar{p}^*_{\alpha,X}\bar{p}_{\alpha,X,*}$ for a free G_α-space X. Then $\delta_\alpha q^*_T = q^*_T\bar{\delta}_\alpha$. For an arbitrary G-space X, it is plain from the definitions that $\delta_X = \bar{\delta}_{EG\times X}$ and $\delta_{\alpha,X} = \bar{\delta}_{\alpha,EG\times X}$. In this manner, all questions regarding the operations δ and δ_α can be reduced to the case of a free action.

Next we show that the Weyl group action can be expressed in terms of the operators δ_α and that the δ_α satisfy an integration by parts rule.

1.4. **Proposition.** *Let* α *be a root. Then*

(i) $s_\alpha = 1 - \alpha\delta_\alpha$, *where* $s_\alpha \in W$ *denotes the reflection in* α *and* 1 *denotes the identity operator on* $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$*;*
(ii) $\delta_\alpha(a_1a_2) = \delta_\alpha(a_1)a_2 + s_\alpha(a_1)\delta_\alpha(a_2)$ *for all* a_1, $a_2 \in H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$*.*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $G = G_\alpha$. Then $R = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$, $p_{\alpha,X} = p_X$ and $\delta_\alpha = \delta$. We may also assume that G acts freely on X. (If it does not, replace X with $EG \times X$; see Remark 1.3.) We will prove the proposition by pulling up the fibre bundle $X/T \to X/G$ along the projection map p_X. Let $X' = X \times^T G$ be the quotient of $X \times G$ by the free T-action $t\cdot(x,g) = (tx, tg)$. Then G acts on X' by right multiplication on the fibre G. Let

$$c_{X'} \colon S_\mathbf{k} \to H^*(X'/T;\mathbf{k})$$

be the characteristic homomorphism for X' considered as a T-space. The quotient of X' by G is $X'/G = (X \times^T G)/G \cong X/T$. With this identification, the map $p_{X'} \colon X'/T \to X'/G$ becomes the map $f \colon X'/T \to X/T$ given by $f([x,g]) = [x]$. Here $[x,g] \in X'/T$ denotes the T-orbit of $(x,g) \in X \times G$ and $[x] \in X/T$ denotes the

T-orbit of $x \in X$. We define a second map $h\colon X'/T \to X/T$ by $h([x,g]) = [g^{-1}x]$. The square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'/T \arrow[r, "h"'] \arrow[d, "f"] & X/T \arrow[l, dotted, bend right, "\tau"'] \arrow[d, "p_X"] \\
X/T \arrow[r, "p_X"] \arrow[u, dotted, bend left, "\sigma"] & X/G
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

is Cartesian. The section τ of h is defined by $\tau([x]) = [x,1]$ and the section σ of f is defined by $\sigma(x) = [x, n_\alpha]$, where $n_\alpha \in N_G(T)$ is a representative of s_α. Lemma 1.1 gives

$$h^*\delta_X = \delta_{X'}h^*, \tag{3}$$

where $\delta_{X'} = p_{X'}^* p_{X',*} = f^*f_*$. Note that h is induced by the G-equivariant map $X' \to X$ defined by $[x,g] \mapsto g^{-1}x$. It follows that h is equivariant with respect to the given Weyl group action on X/T and the fibrewise Weyl group action on X'/T defined by $w \cdot [x,g] = [x, gn^{-1}]$, where $n \in N_G(T)$ is a representative of $w \in W$. Therefore

$$h^*(s_\alpha(a)) = s_\alpha(h^*(a)) \tag{4}$$

for all $a \in H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$. Because h is induced by an equivariant map, we have also

$$h^*(c_X(u)a) = c_{X'}(u)h^*(a) \tag{5}$$

for all $u \in S_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $a \in H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$. Since h has a section, h^* is injective, so because of (3)–(5) the proposition will follow from

$$s_\alpha(b) = b - c_{X'}(\alpha)\delta_{X'}(b), \qquad \delta_{X'}(b_1b_2) = \delta_{X'}(b_1)b_2 + s_\alpha(b_1)\delta_{X'}(b_2) \tag{6}$$

for all b, b_1, $b_2 \in H^*(X'/T;\mathbf{k})$. Let $\xi = \sigma_*(1) \in H^2(X'/T;\mathbf{k})$. See [8, Sections III.3–5] or [14, Section 2] for the following facts:

$$\begin{gathered}
\delta_{X'}(1) = 0, \quad \delta_{X'}(\xi) = 1, \quad s_\alpha(1) = 1, \quad s_\alpha(\xi) = -\xi - f^*(c_X(\alpha)), \\
\xi^2 + f^*(c_X(\alpha))\xi = 0, \qquad c_{X'}(\alpha) = f^*(c_X(\alpha)) + 2\xi.
\end{gathered} \tag{7}$$

Since f is W-invariant, we have $s_\alpha(f^*(a)) = f^*(a)$ for all $a \in H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$. By the Leray-Hirsch theorem, the classes 1 and ξ form a basis of $H^*(X'/T;\mathbf{k})$ regarded as an $H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$-module via the map f^*. Let $b \in H^*(X'/T;\mathbf{k})$. Writing $b = f^*(a_1) + f^*(a_2)\xi$ with a_1, $a_2 \in H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$ and using (7), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
s_\alpha(b) &= f^*(a_1) - f^*(a_2)(\xi + f^*(c_X(\alpha))), \\
b - c_{X'}(\alpha)\delta_{X'}(b) &= f^*(a_1) + f^*(a_2)\xi - (f^*(c_X(\alpha)) + 2\xi)f^*(a_2),
\end{aligned}$$

so $s_\alpha(b) = b - c_{X'}(\alpha)\delta_{X'}(b)$. The second equality in (6) follows from (7) in a similar way. QED

For any $u \in S = H_T^*(\mathrm{pt};\mathbf{Z})$, the difference $u - s_\alpha(u)$ is uniquely divisible by α, so it follows from Proposition 1.4(i) that $\delta_{\alpha,\mathrm{pt}}$ is a divided difference operator on the polynomial ring S.

1.5. **Corollary.** *Let* $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$ *and let* pt *denote the space consisting of one point. Then*

$$\delta_{\alpha,\mathrm{pt}}(u) = \frac{u - s_\alpha(u)}{\alpha}$$

for all $u \in S$.

This formula can also be found in [1] and [10]. It follows from this that, for an arbitrary coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$, the operator $\delta_{\alpha,\mathrm{pt}}$ on $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ is equal to $\alpha^{-1}(1 - s_\alpha) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

1.6. **Lemma.** *The identities*

$$s_\alpha \delta_\alpha = \delta_\alpha, \quad \delta_\alpha s_\alpha = -\delta_\alpha, \quad \delta_{-\alpha} = -\delta_\alpha, \quad w\delta_\alpha w^{-1} = \delta_{w(\alpha)}$$

hold for all $\alpha \in R$ *and* $w \in W$.

Proof. The identity $s_\alpha \delta_\alpha = \delta_\alpha$ follows from Lemma 1.2 and Proposition 1.4(i). From Corollary 1.5 we get $\delta_\alpha(\alpha) = 2$, whence, by Lemma 1.2 and Proposition 1.4(ii),

$$\delta_\alpha s_\alpha = \delta_\alpha(1 - \alpha\delta_\alpha) = \delta_\alpha - 2\delta_\alpha = -\delta_\alpha.$$

Replacing α with $-\alpha$ has the effect of reversing the complex structure on G_α/T and thus reversing the sign of $p_{\alpha,X,*}$. Therefore $\delta_{-\alpha} = -\delta_\alpha$. Finally, for any Weyl group element w, conjugation by w maps G_α to $G_{w(\alpha)}$ and induces a biholomorphic map $G_\alpha/T \to G_{w(\alpha)}/T$. The action of w, viewed as a homeomorphism $X_T \to X_T$, induces a homeomorphism $\bar{w} \colon X_{G_\alpha} \to X_{G_{w(\alpha)}}$, and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_\alpha/T \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Ad}(w)"'] & X_T \arrow[r, "p_{\alpha,X}"] \arrow[d, "w"'] & X_{G_\alpha} \arrow[d, "\bar{w}"'] \\
G_{w(\alpha)}/T \arrow[r] & X_T \arrow[r, "p_{w(\alpha),X}"] & X_{G_{w(\alpha)}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. The square on the right is Cartesian, so $\bar{w}p_{w(\alpha),X,*} = p_{\alpha,X,*}w$. By naturality, $wp^*_{w(\alpha),X} = p^*_{\alpha,X}\bar{w}$, and so $w\delta_\alpha w^{-1} = \delta_{w(\alpha)}$. QED

Our next result relates the operations δ_α to the operation δ. The proof is based on a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G/T \arrow[rrr, "j'"] \arrow[dd] & & & X'/T \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[dd, "f"] & X/T \arrow[dd, "p_X"] \\
& \tilde{G}/T \arrow[r, "\tilde{j}"] \arrow[ul, "q"'] \arrow[dl] & \tilde{X}/T \arrow[ur, "q_X"] \arrow[dr, "\tilde{f}"'] & & \\
\mathrm{pt} \arrow[rrr, "j"] & & & X/T \arrow[r, "p_X"] & X/G,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8}$$

which is defined for every free G-space X as follows. The square on the right is as in (2). The object $\tilde{G}/T$ is a *Bott-Samelson variety.* We recall the construction from [8, Section III.4]. Choose a reduced decomposition

$$w_0 = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} \cdots s_{\beta_N} \tag{9}$$

of the longest Weyl group element w_0 in terms of simple roots β_1, $\beta_2, \dots,$ β_N. Put $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ and

$$\alpha_k = s_{\beta_1} s_{\beta_2} \cdots s_{\beta_{k-1}}(\beta_k)$$

for $2 \leq k \leq N$. Then $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N\} = R_+$. For $1 \leq k \leq N$ define

$$G^{(k)} = G_{\alpha_1} \times^T G_{\alpha_2} \times^T \cdots \times^T G_{\alpha_k},$$

where the nth copy of T acts on $G_{\alpha_n} \times G_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ by $t \cdot (g_n, g_{n+1}) = (g_n t^{-1}, t g_{n+1})$. Put $\tilde{G} = G^{(N)}$. The torus T acts freely on $\tilde{G}$ by right multiplication on G_{α_N}. Let $q \colon \tilde{G}/T \to G/T$ be the map induced by multiplication,

$$[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N] \longmapsto [g_1 g_2 \cdots g_N].$$

The map $q \colon \tilde{G}/T \to G/T$ is the *Bott-Samelson resolution* of the flag variety associated with the given reduced decomposition of w_0, so named because it is a simultaneous resolution of singularities of all the Schubert varieties in G/T. (See [14, Sections 3–4].) The space $\tilde{X}/T$ is obtained by replacing the fibre G/T of the bundle f by the Bott-Samelson variety $\tilde{G}/T$. Namely, let $X^{(k)}$ be the T-space $X \times^T G^{(k)}$ and let $\tilde{X} = X^{(N)}$. We define $q_X \colon \tilde{X}/T \to X'/T$ to be the map

$$[x, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N] \longmapsto [x, g_1 g_2 \cdots g_N]$$

and $\tilde{f} \colon \tilde{X}/T \to X/T$ to be the composition of q_X and f. The map $j \colon \mathrm{pt} \to X/T$ is the inclusion of an arbitrarily chosen point in X/T and $j' \colon G/T \to X'/T$ and $\tilde{j} \colon \tilde{G}/T \to \tilde{X}/T$ are the inclusions of the fibres of f, resp. $\tilde{f}$, over this point.

A useful property of the map $\tilde{f}$ is that it splits into a sequence of $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundles with sections, which we shall call the *Bott-Samelson tower* of X:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}/T \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_N"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "\sigma_N"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_{k+1}"] & X^{(k)}/T \arrow[l, shift left, "\sigma_{k+1}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_k"] & X^{(k-1)}/T \arrow[l, shift left, "\sigma_k"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_{k-1}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "\sigma_{k-1}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_2"] & X^{(1)}/T \arrow[l, shift left, "\sigma_2"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\pi_1"] & X/T. \arrow[l, shift left, "\sigma_1"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The projections π_k and the sections σ_k are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k([x, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}, g_k]) &= [x, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}], \\ \sigma_k([x, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}]) &= [x, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}, n_k], \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where n_k represents the nontrivial element s_{α_k} of the Weyl group of G_{α_k}.

1.7. **Proposition.** *For every reduced decomposition* (9) *of the longest Weyl group element* w_0*, we have* $\delta = \delta_{\beta_1} \delta_{\beta_2} \cdots \delta_{\beta_N}$.

Proof. Let us assume, as we may, that G acts freely on X. First consider the case where X is G acting on itself by left multiplication. In that case the assertion is that

$$\delta_G = \delta_{\beta_1, G} \delta_{\beta_2, G} \cdots \delta_{\beta_N, G} \tag{11}$$

as operators on $H_T^*(G; \mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(G/T; \mathbf{k})$. Because $H^*(G/T; \mathbf{Z})$ is a free and finitely generated abelian group, it suffices to check this identity over the ring $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$. Both sides vanish except in degree $2N$, so it is enough to show that they agree on the orientation class $\theta \in H^{2N}(G/T; \mathbf{Z})$. Let

$$d = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha \in S^N$$

be the discriminant of G. By [13, § 4] the image of d under the characteristic homomorphism $c_G = i^*\colon S \to H^*(G/T;\mathbf{Z})$ is

$$i^*(d) = |W|\theta. \tag{12}$$

From Corollary 1.5 and [13, Proposition 3] we obtain the identity

$$\delta_{\beta_1,\mathrm{pt}}\delta_{\beta_2,\mathrm{pt}}\cdots\delta_{\beta_N,\mathrm{pt}} = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{w\in W}\det(w)w, \tag{13}$$

valid over the ring $\mathbf{Z}$. Since $w(d) = \det(w)d$, we find

$$\delta_{\beta_1,\mathrm{pt}}\delta_{\beta_2,\mathrm{pt}}\cdots\delta_{\beta_N,\mathrm{pt}}(d) = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{w\in W}\det(w)w(d) = |W|.$$

By naturality and by (12) this gives

$$\begin{aligned}|W| &= i^*\delta_{\beta_1,\mathrm{pt}}\delta_{\beta_2,\mathrm{pt}}\cdots\delta_{\beta_N,\mathrm{pt}}(d)\\ &= \delta_{\beta_1,G}\delta_{\beta_2,G}\cdots\delta_{\beta_N,G}i^*(d)\\ &= |W|\delta_{\beta_1,G}\delta_{\beta_2,G}\cdots\delta_{\beta_N,G}(\theta).\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $|W|$ yields

$$\delta_{\beta_1,G}\delta_{\beta_2,G}\cdots\delta_{\beta_N,G}(\theta) = 1 = \delta_G(\theta)$$

and hence (11).

We will deduce from this that the proposition is true for an arbitrary free G-space X. The following square contained in the diagram (8) is Cartesian:

$$\begin{tikzcd}\tilde{G}/T \arrow[r, "\tilde{\jmath}"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & \tilde{X}/T \arrow[d, "q_X"] \\ G/T \arrow[r, "j'"] & X'/T.\end{tikzcd}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 1.1, this implies the identities

$$q_*\tilde{\jmath}^* = j'^{,*}q_{X,*}, \qquad \tilde{\jmath}^*\tilde{\delta}_X = \tilde{\delta}_G\tilde{\jmath}^*, \qquad \tilde{\jmath}^*\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,X} = \tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,G}\tilde{\jmath}^* \tag{14}$$

for all roots α. Here $\tilde{\delta}_X$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,X}$ are operators on $H^*(\tilde{X}/T;\mathbf{k})$ and $\tilde{\delta}_G$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,G}$ are operators on $H^*(\tilde{G}/T;\mathbf{k})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\delta}_X &= \tilde{f}^*\tilde{f}_* = q_X^*\delta_{X'}q_{X,*}, & \tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,X} &= q_X^*\delta_{\alpha,X'}q_{X,*},\\ \tilde{\delta}_G &= q^*\delta_G q_*, & \tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,G} &= q^*\delta_{\alpha,G}q_*.\end{aligned}$$

For $a \in H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$ and $b \in H^*(\tilde{X}/T;\mathbf{k})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,X}(\tilde{f}^*(a)b) &= q_X^*\delta_{\alpha,X'}q_{X,*}(q_X^*(f^*(a))b) = q_X^*\delta_{\alpha,X'}(f^*(a)q_{X,*}(b))\\ &= q_X^*(f^*(a)\delta_{\alpha,X'}(q_{X,*}(b))) = \tilde{f}^*(a)q_X^*\delta_{\alpha,X'}q_{X,*}(b) = \tilde{f}^*(a)\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,X}(b),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the $H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$-linearity of $\delta_{\alpha,X'}$ (Lemma 1.2) and the projection formula. The same argument applies to $\tilde{\delta}_X$. Thus $\tilde{\delta}_X$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,X}$ are linear over $H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$:

$$\tilde{\delta}_X(\tilde{f}^*(a)b) = \tilde{f}^*(a)\tilde{\delta}_X(b), \qquad \tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,X}(\tilde{f}^*(a)b) = \tilde{f}^*(a)\tilde{\delta}_{\alpha,X}(b) \tag{15}$$

for all $a \in H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$ and $b \in H^*(\tilde{X}/T;\mathbf{k})$.

The Bott-Samelson map $q\colon \tilde{G}/T \to G/T$, being birational, has mapping degree 1. This implies $q_*(1) = 1$ and hence $1 = q_*\tilde{\jmath}^*(1) = j'^{,*}q_{X,*}(1)$ by the first formula in (14). Since $j'^{,*}$ is injective in degree 0, this gives $q_{X,*}(1) = 1$. Therefore

$$q_*q^* = \mathrm{id}, \qquad q_{X,*}q_X^* = \mathrm{id} \tag{16}$$

by the projection formula. By left multiplying both sides of (11) by q^* and right multiplying by q_* and using (16), we find $\tilde{\delta}_G = \tilde{\delta}_{\beta_1,G}\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_2,G}\cdots\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_N,G}$. In particular,

$$1 = \tilde{\delta}_G(\tilde{\theta}) = \tilde{\delta}_{\beta_1,G}\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_2,G}\cdots\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_N,G}(\tilde{\theta}), \tag{17}$$

where $\tilde{\theta} = q^*(\theta)$. Since q has mapping degree 1, $\tilde{\theta}$ is the orientation class of the variety $\tilde{G}/T$. We assert that $\tilde{\theta}$ extends to a class in $H^{2N}(\tilde{X}/T;\mathbf{k})$ which is a product of degree 2 classes. These classes are defined as follows. Let σ_k be the section (10) of the Bott-Samelson tower. The class $\sigma_{k,*}(1)$ is the Thom class of the kth “storey” of the tower. Let $\xi_k \in H^2(\tilde{X}/T;\mathbf{k})$ be the pullback of this class,

$$\xi_k = (\pi_N)^*(\pi_{N-1})^*\cdots(\pi_{k+1})^*\sigma_{k,*}(1),$$

and for each subset K of $\{1,2,\dots,N\}$ let

$$\xi_K = \prod_{k\in K}\xi_k \in H^{2|K|}(\tilde{X}/T;\mathbf{k}).$$

It follows from the Leray-Hirsch theorem, applied to each storey of the Bott-Samelson tower, that the 2^N classes ξ_K form a basis of $H^*(\tilde{X}/T;\mathbf{k})$ viewed as an $H^*(X/T;\mathbf{k})$-module via the map $\tilde{f}^*$. The top-degree basis element is

$$\xi_{\{1,2,\dots,N\}} = \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_N \in H^{2N}(\tilde{X}/T;\mathbf{k}),$$

which restricts to the orientation class of the fibre $\tilde{G}/T$, i.e. $\tilde{\jmath}^*(\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_N) = \tilde{\theta}$. Substituting this into (17) and using the second formula in (14) we get

$$\tilde{\jmath}^*\tilde{\delta}_X(\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_N) = \tilde{\jmath}^*\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_1,X}\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_2,X}\cdots\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_N,X}(\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_N).$$

Both sides of this identity are of degree 0, so

$$\tilde{\delta}_X(\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_N) = \tilde{\delta}_{\beta_1,X}\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_2,X}\cdots\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_N,X}(\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_N). \tag{18}$$

Finally, we can finish the proof of the proposition. Since h^* is injective (because h has a section; see (2)), the desired result will follow from

$$\delta_{X'} = \delta_{\beta_1,X'}\delta_{\beta_2,X'}\cdots\delta_{\beta_N,X'}.$$

It follows from (16) that q_X^* is injective, so in fact it is enough to show that

$$\tilde{\delta}_X = \tilde{\delta}_{\beta_1,X}\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_2,X}\cdots\tilde{\delta}_{\beta_N,X}. \tag{19}$$

Because of the linearity property (15), it suffices to check (19) on the basis elements ξ_K. Since both sides of (19) are operators of degree $-2N$, they annihilate all classes ξ_K except when $K = \{1,2,\dots,N\}$, in which case (19) is equivalent to (18). This proves (19). QED

The operators ∂_w. Let α and β be simple roots and let m be the order of $s_\alpha s_\beta$. Then $s_\alpha s_\beta \cdots = s_\beta s_\alpha \cdots$ (m factors on both sides) is the longest element of the Weyl group of the root subsystem of R generated by α and β, so from Proposition 1.7 we obtain the *braid relation*

$$\delta_\alpha \delta_\beta \cdots = \delta_\beta \delta_\alpha \cdots , \tag{20}$$

where each product has m factors. Let w be a Weyl group element of length k and let $w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_k}$ be a reduced decomposition of w in terms of simple roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k$. Define an endomorphism ∂_w of $H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$ of degree $-2k$ by

$$\partial_w = \delta_{\alpha_1} \delta_{\alpha_2} \cdots \delta_{\alpha_k}. \tag{21}$$

This operator depends on the choice of the basis of R, but it follows from the braid relations and Matsumoto's theorem ([9, § IV.1, Proposition 5]) that it does not depend on the reduced decomposition of w. Note that

$$\partial_{w_0,X} = \delta_X = p_X^* p_{X,*}. \tag{22}$$

From (13) we get the following identity, valid over the integers,

$$\partial_{w_0,\mathrm{pt}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) w. \tag{23}$$

As in [13, Section 4], the braid relations (20) and the relations $\delta_\alpha^2 = 0$ (Lemma 1.2) imply the following relations among the ∂_w:

$$\partial_w \partial_{w'} = \partial_{ww'} \quad \text{if } l(ww') = l(w) + l(w'), \quad \partial_w \partial_{w'} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \tag{24}$$

From these relations and Proposition 1.4(ii) one can deduce a "Leibniz" rule for ∂_w, which is stated in [21, Chapter II]. This reference is hard to find and it treats only the case of a one-point space and the classical root system of type A, so let us review the argument. Let

$$\mu \colon H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k}) \to H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$$

be the multiplication map. Then Proposition 1.4(ii) is equivalent to

$$\delta_\alpha \circ \mu = \mu \circ (\delta_\alpha \otimes 1 + s_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha).$$

Substituting (21) and writing s_j for the simple reflection s_{α_j} gives

$$\partial_w \circ \mu = \mu \circ (\partial_{s_1} \otimes 1 + s_1 \otimes \partial_{s_1}) \circ (\partial_{s_2} \otimes 1 + s_2 \otimes \partial_{s_2}) \circ \cdots \circ (\partial_{s_k} \otimes 1 + s_k \otimes \partial_{s_k}).$$

This expands to an expression of the form

$$\partial_w \circ \mu = \mu \circ \sum_{\mathbf{t}} \phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) \otimes \partial_{\mathbf{t}}.$$

Here $\mathbf{s}$ is the word $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)$; the sum is over all subwords $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_l)$ of $\mathbf{s}$ of l letters, where $0 \le l \le k$; $\partial_{\mathbf{t}}$ denotes $\partial_{t_1} \partial_{t_2} \cdots \partial_{t_l}$; and

$$\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) = \phi_1(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) \phi_2(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) \cdots \phi_k(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$$

with

$$\phi_j(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) = \begin{cases} s_j & \text{if } s_j \in \mathbf{t}, \\ \partial_{s_j} & \text{if } s_j \notin \mathbf{t}, \end{cases}$$

for $1 \le j \le k$. If the subword $\mathbf{t}$ is not reduced, then $\partial_{\mathbf{t}} = 0$ by (24), so we can write

$$\partial_w \circ \mu = \mu \circ \sum_{w' \le w} w' \partial_{w/w'} \otimes \partial_{w'}.$$

Here $\partial_{w/w'} = (w')^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{t}} \phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$, summed over all reduced subwords $\mathbf{t}$ of $\mathbf{s}$ such that $w' = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_l$. The operator $\partial_{w/w'}$ has degree $l(w) - l(w')$ (viewed as an endomorphism of $S_{\mathbf{k}}$) and it follows from the independence of the ∂_w that $\partial_{w/w'}$ does not depend on the reduced decomposition of w. This proves the following product rule.

1.8. **Lemma.** *$\partial_w(a_1 a_2) = \sum_{w' \le w} w'(\partial_{w/w'}(a_1))\partial_{w'}(a_2)$ for all a_1 and a_2 in $H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$.*

Demazure's algebra. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ be the algebra of endomorphisms of $S_{\mathbf{k}} = H^*_T(\mathrm{pt}; \mathbf{k})$ generated by the operators $\delta_{\alpha,\mathrm{pt}}$ for $\alpha \in R$ and the elements of $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ (regarded as multiplication operators). The generators are $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$-linear, so $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}}(S_{\mathbf{k}})$. By Proposition 1.4(i), $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ contains the Weyl group and hence the twisted group algebra $S_{\mathbf{k}}[W]$.

1.9. **Proposition.** *The algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the free left $S_{\mathbf{k}}$-module on the elements $(\partial_w)_{w \in W}$ equipped with the multiplication rule*

$$\Bigl(\sum_{w \in W} u_w \partial_w\Bigr) \cdot \Bigl(\sum_{w' \in W} u'_{w'} \partial_{w'}\Bigr) = \sum_{w, w' \in W} \sum_{w''} u_w w''\bigl(\partial_{w/w''}(u'_{w'})\bigr)\partial_{w''w'},$$

where the second sum on the right ranges over all $w'' \in W$ satisfying $w'' \le w$ and $l(w''w') = l(w'') + l(w')$.

Proof. That the ∂_w are a basis of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is [13, Section 4, Corollaire 1]. The multiplication rule follows from the product rule, Lemma 1.8, applied to $X = \mathrm{pt}$. QED

The next result says that $H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$ is in a natural way a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-module. This is analogous to a result of Brion in equivariant Chow theory, [11, Theorem 6.3].

1.10. **Theorem.** *The operations δ_α and the action of $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ on $H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$ extend uniquely to an action of the algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$. This action is $H^*_G(X; \mathbf{k})$-linear, it extends the action of the twisted group algebra $S_{\mathbf{k}}[W]$, and it is contravariant with respect to G-equivariant continuous maps and covariant with respect to G-equivariant proper oriented maps. In particular, the characteristic homomorphism $S_{\mathbf{k}} \to H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-linear.*

Proof. The basis elements ∂_w of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ must act as in (21). The multiplication rule given in Proposition 1.9 is then satisfied because of Lemma 1.8. We conclude that the operations δ_α generate a unique $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-module structure on $H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$. It follows from Lemma 1.2 that $\Delta(p^*_X(b)a) = p^*_X(b)\Delta(a)$ for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$, $a \in H^*_T(X; \mathbf{k})$ and $b \in H^*_G(X; \mathbf{k})$, which shows that the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-action is $H^*_G(X; \mathbf{k})$-linear. It follows from Proposition 1.4(i) that the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-action extends the $S_{\mathbf{k}}[W]$-action. Finally, the functoriality follows from Lemma 1.1. QED

By analogy with group rings, we define the *augmentation left ideal* of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ to be the annihilator of the constant polynomial $1 \in S_{\mathbf{k}}$,

$$I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \{\, \Delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}} \mid \Delta(1) = 0 \,\}.$$

Let A be a left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-module. We say an element of A is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$*-invariant* if it is annihilated by all operators in $I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})$ and we denote by $A^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$ the set of invariants. The invariants are not a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-submodule of A, but a submodule over the ring $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$.

1.11. **Lemma.** (i) *$I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})$ is the left ideal of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ generated by the operators ∂_w for $w \neq 1$. It is a free left $S_{\mathbf{k}}$-module with basis $(\partial_w)_{w \neq 1}$.*

(ii) *Let A be a left $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-module. Then*

$$A^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})} = \{\, a \in A \mid \partial_w(a) = 0 \textit{ for all } w \neq 1 \,\} \subseteq A^W.$$

Proof. (i) follows from the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a free left $S_{\mathbf{k}}$-module with basis $(\partial_w)_{w \in W}$ and the fact that $\partial_1 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\partial_w(1) = 0$ for $w \neq 1$. It follows from (i) that an element of A is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-invariant if and only if it is annihilated by all ∂_w for $w \neq 1$. By Proposition 1.4(i), every $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-invariant element of A is W-invariant. QED

1.12. **Theorem.** *We have inclusions*

$$p_X^*\big(H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})\big) \subseteq H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})} \subseteq H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^W.$$

Proof. The first inclusion follows from the $H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$-linearity of the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-action (Theorem 1.10) and the second inclusion is a special case of Lemma 1.11(ii). QED

Without imposing conditions on the coefficient ring, this result cannot be improved. It is well-known that the map p_X^* is not always injective. For instance, let X be a point. Then the kernel of $p_X^* = p^*$ is the torsion submodule of $H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z})$ (see e.g. [15] or Proposition 2.1(i) below), which is nonzero for groups such as $G = \mathbf{SO}(n)$. In Section 4 we will give some examples where the second inclusion stated in the theorem is a strict inclusion. Here is an example where the first inclusion is strict.

1.13. **Example.** Let X be a point, let $G = \mathbf{Spin}(11)$ or $\mathbf{Spin}(12)$, and let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$. Then $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{Z}) = S$. Put $S' = H_G^*(X;\mathbf{Z}) = H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z})$. If $p^*\colon S' \to S^{I(\mathcal{D})}$ were surjective, we would have a ring isomorphism $S'/\mathrm{torsion} \cong S^{I(\mathcal{D})}$. From the fact that S is a polynomial ring it follows easily that for every prime l the ring $S^{I(\mathcal{D})} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{F}_l$ has no nilpotents. But Feshbach showed in [15] that the ring $(S'/\mathrm{torsion}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{F}_2$ has nilpotents in degree 32. Thus $p^*\colon S' \to S^{I(\mathcal{D})}$ is not surjective.

In the next section, we shall give a criterion on the coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$ for p_X^* to be an isomorphism from $H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ onto $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$.

2. EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY AND THE TORSION INDEX

As in the previous section, we fix a basis of the root system R of (G,T). We denote the set of positive roots by R_+, its cardinality by N, and the longest element of W by w_0. As before, X denotes a topological G-space and $\mathbf{k}$ a commutative ring. The first result of this section says that the map in cohomology induced by $p_X\colon X_T \to X_G$ "almost" has a left inverse and "almost" surjects onto the Weyl invariants if $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ is torsion-free. For a one-point space X, this result can be found in [27, Theorem 1.3].

By a famous theorem of Borel, [6, Proposition 26.1], the characteristic homomorphism $c_G = i^*\colon S_{\mathbf{k}} \to H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k})$ is surjective over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Q}$ and therefore it has finite cokernel over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{Z}$. Following [16], we define the *torsion index* $t(G)$ of G to be the order of the cokernel of $i^*\colon S^N \to H^{2N}(G/T;\mathbf{Z})$. It follows from (12) that the torsion index divides the order of the Weyl group.

2.1. **Proposition.** (i) *The kernel of* $p_X^*\colon H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k}) \to H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ *is annihilated by* $t(G)$. *If* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$, *then* p_X^* *is split injective.*

(ii) *Assume that* X *is compact, that* $\mathbf{k}$ *is a principal ideal domain of characteristic* 0 *and that the* $\mathbf{k}$*-module* $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ *is torsion-free. Then the cokernel of*

$$p_X^*\colon H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k}) \to H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^W$$

is annihilated by $t(G)$. *If* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$, p_X^* *is an isomorphism onto* $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^W$.

Proof. Put $\phi = p_X^*$, $A = H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ and $B = H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$. By definition of the torsion index, there exists a polynomial u in $S_{\mathbf{k}}^N$ such that $i^*(u) = t(G)\theta$, where $\theta \in H^{2N}(G/T;\mathbf{Z})$ is the orientation class. Let $\bar{u} = \mathrm{pr}_T^*(u) \in A^{2N}$. Then $i_X^*(\bar{u}) = i^*(u) = t(G)\theta$. By (22) and by the naturality of ∂_{w_0}, this implies

$$\begin{aligned}(p_X i_X)^* p_{X,*}(\bar{u}) = i_X^* \partial_{w_0,X}(\bar{u}) = \partial_{w_0,G} i_X^*(\bar{u})\\ = \partial_{w_0,G} i^*(u) = t(G)\partial_{w_0,G}(\theta) = t(G),\end{aligned}$$

so $p_{X,*}(\bar{u}) = t(G)$. Define $\psi\colon A \to B$ by $\psi(x) = p_{X,*}(\bar{u}x)$. By the projection formula,

$$\psi(\phi(y)) = p_{X,*}(\bar{u})y = t(G)y \tag{25}$$

for all $y \in B$. Hence $\phi(y) = 0$ implies $t(G)y = 0$, which shows that the kernel of ϕ is killed by $t(G)$. If $t(G)$ is invertible in $\mathbf{k}$, then $t(G)^{-1}\psi$ is a left inverse of ϕ, so ϕ is split injective. This proves (i). Now make the assumptions stated in (ii). It follows from (25) that

$$\phi(\psi(\phi(y))) = \phi(t(G)y) = t(G)\phi(y)$$

for all $y \in B$, so

$$\phi(\psi(x)) = t(G)x \tag{26}$$

for all x in the image of ϕ. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be the fraction field of $\mathbf{k}$, $A_{\mathbf{K}} = A \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{K}$, $B_{\mathbf{K}} = B \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{K}$, and consider the extended maps

$$\phi_{\mathbf{K}}\colon B_{\mathbf{K}} \longrightarrow A_{\mathbf{K}}, \qquad \psi_{\mathbf{K}}\colon A_{\mathbf{K}} \longrightarrow B_{\mathbf{K}}.$$

Because X is compact, the universal coefficient theorem gives $A_{\mathbf{K}} \cong H_T^*(X;\mathbf{K})$ and $B_{\mathbf{K}} \cong H_G^*(X;\mathbf{K})$. Since $\mathbf{K}$ is a field of characteristic 0, it follows from [12, Proposition 1(i)] (or from Theorem 2.10 below) that $\phi_{\mathbf{K}}$ is an isomorphism from $B_{\mathbf{K}}$ onto $(A_{\mathbf{K}})^W$. By (26), this implies that $\phi_{\mathbf{K}}(\psi_{\mathbf{K}}(x)) = t(G)x$ for all x in $(A_{\mathbf{K}})^W$. But A is by assumption torsion-free, so the natural map $A \to A_{\mathbf{K}}$ is injective. Hence the natural map $A^W \to (A_{\mathbf{K}})^W$ is likewise injective. Therefore

$\phi(\psi(x)) = t(G)x$ for all x in A^W, which shows that the cokernel of ϕ is annihilated by $t(G)$. Hence, if $t(G)$ is invertible, ϕ is surjective. QED

Because of this proposition, we shall from now on work with a coefficient ring in which the torsion index is invertible, such as $\mathbf{Z}[t(G)^{-1}]$. Our next result says that under this condition the pullback diagram (1) maps to a pushout diagram in cohomology,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k}) & H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k}) \arrow[l, hook', "p_X^*"'] \\
H^*(BT;\mathbf{k}) \arrow[u, "\mathrm{pr}_T^*"] & H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}). \arrow[l, hook', "p^*"'] \arrow[u, "\mathrm{pr}_G^*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The torsion index being invertible, there exists a polynomial $\mathfrak{S}$ in $S^N_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that

$$i^*(\mathfrak{S}) = \theta \in H^{2N}(G/T;\mathbf{k}). \tag{27}$$

This allows us to define the *Schubert polynomials*,

$$\mathfrak{S}_w = \partial_{w^{-1}w_0}(\mathfrak{S}) \in S^{l(w)}_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{28}$$

and their lifts to T-equivariant cohomology,

$$\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w = \mathrm{pr}_T^*(\mathfrak{S}_w) \in H^{2l(w)}_T(X;\mathbf{k}). \tag{29}$$

Clearly $\mathfrak{S}_{w_0} = \mathfrak{S}$, where $w_0 \in W$ is the longest element. It is shown in [13] that $\mathfrak{S}_1 = 1$, the identity element of $S^0_{\mathbf{k}}$. Hence $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_1 = 1 \in H^0_T(X;\mathbf{k})$. Moreover, by [13, Corollaire 4], the classes $i^*(\mathfrak{S}_w)$ form a basis (the Schubert basis) of the $\mathbf{k}$-module $H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k})$. Thus we can define $\mathbf{k}$-linear maps

$$s\colon H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}) \to S_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad \bar{s}\colon H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}) \to H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k}) \tag{30}$$

by setting $s(i^*(\mathfrak{S}_w)) = \mathfrak{S}_w$ and $\bar{s}(i^*(\mathfrak{S}_w)) = \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w$.

2.2. **Proposition.** *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Choose a class* $\mathfrak{S} \in S^N_{\mathbf{k}}$ *as in* (27). *Define the classes* $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w$ *as in* (29) *and the map* $\bar{s}$ *as in* (30).

(i) *The map* $H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k}) \to H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$ *which sends* (x, b) *to* $\bar{s}(x)p_X^*(b)$ *is an isomorphism of* $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$*-modules. Hence* $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$ *is a free* $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$*-module with basis* $(\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w)_{w\in W}$. *In particular, the rank of* $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$ *over* $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$ *is equal to the cardinality of* W *and the basis elements are of even degree.*

(ii) *The map* $S_{\mathbf{k}} \times H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k}) \to H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$ *which sends* (u, b) *to* $\mathrm{pr}_T^*(u)p_X^*(b)$ *induces an isomorphism of graded* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebras*

$$S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})} H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k}).$$

Proof. It follows from $i = \mathrm{pr}_T \circ i_X$ that $i_X^*(\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w) = i^*(\mathfrak{S}_w)$. Thus i_X^* is surjective and $\bar{s}$ is a section of i_X^*. Therefore the Leray-Hirsch theorem applies to the fibre bundle $X_T \to X_G$, telling us that the elements $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w$ form a basis of the $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$-module $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$. This proves (i). The map $u\otimes b \mapsto \mathrm{pr}_T^*(u)p_X^*(b)$ is multiplicative. It sends the basis element $\mathfrak{S}_w \otimes 1$ of $S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})} H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$ to the basis element $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w$ of $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$ and is therefore an algebra isomorphism. QED

The next result is implicitly stated in [13] and also follows from [27, Theorem 1.3]. We will deduce it here from Proposition 2.2 by taking X to be a single point. Let M be a module over a commutative ring $\mathbf{k}$. Let $m \in M$ and let I be an ideal of $\mathbf{k}$. We call m an I-*torsion element* if I annihilates m. We say M *has* I-*torsion* if M has a nonzero I-torsion element. If I is prime in $\mathbf{k}$ and M has I-torsion, we call I a *torsion prime* of M.

2.3. **Corollary.** *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$.

- (i) *The maps* $H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k} \to H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})$ *and* $p^*\colon H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}) \to (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$ *are isomorphisms. Therefore* $H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})$ *is a polynomial algebra over* $\mathbf{k}$ *on generators of even degree.*
- (ii) *The characteristic homomorphism* $c_G = i^*\colon S_{\mathbf{k}} \to H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k})$ *is surjective and its kernel is the ideal* $(S^W_+)_{\mathbf{k}}$ *of* $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ *generated by the Weyl-invariant elements of positive degree. Hence* c_G *induces a* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$*-linear isomorphism of* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebras*

$$(S/S^W_+)_{\mathbf{k}} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1(i), the torsion submodule of $H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z})$ is annihilated by the torsion index $t(G)$. Since $H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z})$ is finitely generated in each degree, this implies $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathbf{Z}}(H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z}),\mathbf{k}) = 0$, and therefore $H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k} \cong H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})$ by the universal coefficient theorem. It follows that

$$p^*(H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})) = p^*(H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z})) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k} \subseteq (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

By Proposition 2.2(i), applied to a one-point space X, the algebra $S_{\mathbf{k}} = H^*(BT;\mathbf{k})$ is a free module with basis $(\mathfrak{S}_w)_{w\in W}$ over the subalgebra $p^*(H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}))$. By [13, Théorème 2(c)], $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ is also a free module with the same basis over the larger subalgebra $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$. This implies $p^*(H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}))$ is equal to $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$. By Proposition 2.1(i), p^* is injective, and therefore $p^*\colon H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}) \to (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$ is an isomorphism. By [13, Théorème 3], it follows from this that $H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})$ is a polynomial algebra on even degree generators, which proves (i). By taking X to be a space consisting of a single point, we obtain from Proposition 2.2(i)

$$(S/S^W_+)_{\mathbf{k}} \cong S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{k} \cong \bigl(H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}\bigr) \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{k} \cong H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}).$$

This isomorphism is $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-linear, because i^* is. QED

We shall from now on identify $H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})$ with $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$ via the isomorphism p^* (when the torsion index is invertible in $\mathbf{k}$).

2.4. **Corollary.** *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$. *The isomorphism*

$$S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k}) \tag{31}$$

of Proposition 2.2(ii) *is* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$*-linear, where we let the operators* $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ *act on the left-hand side by* $\Delta(u \otimes b) = \Delta(u) \otimes b$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.10, the action of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ on $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ is linear over $H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}) = (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$, which implies that the operation $\Delta(u \otimes b) = \Delta(u) \otimes b$ is well-defined. Again by Theorem 1.10, the action of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ on $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$ is $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$-linear, which implies that the isomorphism $f(u \otimes b) = \mathrm{pr}_T^*(u)p_X^*(b)$ satisfies $f\Delta(u \otimes b)) = f(\Delta(u) \otimes b) = \Delta f(u \otimes b)$. QED

We recall from [13] that the prime factors of the torsion index are precisely the torsion primes of the fundamental group of G plus a short list of primes for each of the simple ideals of the Lie algebra of G. This list is as follows: 2 for types $\mathbf{B}_n$ ($n \geq 3$), $\mathbf{D}_n$ ($n \geq 4$) and $\mathbf{G}_2$; 2 and 3 for types $\mathbf{E}_6$, $\mathbf{E}_7$ and $\mathbf{F}_4$; and 2, 3 and 5 for type $\mathbf{E}_8$. (The simply connected groups of type $\mathbf{A}_n$ and $\mathbf{C}_n$ have torsion index 1 for all $n \geq 1$.) The proof of this fact is largely given in [7] and relies on the observation (also used in the proof of Corollary 2.3) that the prime factors of the torsion index are precisely the torsion primes of $H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z})$, which in turn are the same as the torsion primes of $H^*(G;\mathbf{Z})$. Thus $t(G)$ is invertible in $\mathbf{k}$ if and only if the torsion primes of $H^*(G;\mathbf{Z})$ are invertible in $\mathbf{k}$.

Proposition 2.2(ii) shows that the T-equivariant cohomology of a G-space is determined by its G-equivariant cohomology for any coefficient ring in which the torsion index is invertible. Conversely, Theorem 2.6 below shows that it is possible to express G-equivariant cohomology in terms of T-equivariant cohomology. This result is a consequence of the following theorem of Demazure.

2.5. **Theorem** ([13, Théorème 2]). *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$.

(i) *Choose a family of Schubert polynomials* $(\mathfrak{S}_w)_{w\in W}$ *as in* (28). *These polynomials form a basis of the* $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$*-module* $S_{\mathbf{k}}$.

(ii) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathrm{End}_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}}(S_{\mathbf{k}})$.

It follows from this theorem that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of $|W| \times |W|$-matrices over the ring $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$. In particular, the centre of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is equal to $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$.

2.6. **Theorem.** *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$.

(i) *The map* p_X^* *is an isomorphism from* $H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ *onto* $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$.

(ii) *The family of operators* $(\partial_w)_{w\in W}$ *is a basis of the* $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$*-module of all* $H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$*-linear endomorphisms of* $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (S_{\mathbf{k}})^W\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}$ be the categories of (left) modules over the rings $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$, resp. $(S_{\mathbf{k}})^W$. By Theorem 2.5(i), the module $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a progenerator of the category $\mathfrak{B}$. Hence, by the first Morita equivalence theorem (see e.g. [20, § 18]), the functor $\mathfrak{G}\colon \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{A}$ defined by

$$B \longmapsto S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} B$$

is an equivalence with inverse $\mathfrak{F}\colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ given by

$$A \longmapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}}(S_{\mathbf{k}}, A).$$

We assert that $\mathfrak{F}$ is naturally isomorphic to the functor $\mathfrak{I}\colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ given by

$$A \longmapsto A^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}.$$

Indeed, consider the natural $(S_{\mathbf{k}})^W$-linear map $\Phi_A\colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}}(S_{\mathbf{k}}, A) \to A$ defined by $\Phi_A(f) = f(1)$. The map Φ_A is injective, because $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}} \supseteq S_{\mathbf{k}}$. Its image is $A^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$, because $I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})$ is the annihilator of $1 \in S_{\mathbf{k}}$. We conclude that Φ is a natural isomorphism from $\mathfrak{F}$ to $\mathfrak{I}$. Now consider the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$-module $A = H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$

and the $(S^W)_\mathbf{k}$-module $B = H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$. By Proposition 2.2(ii), $A \cong \mathfrak{G}(B)$. Hence $B \cong \mathfrak{F}(A) \cong \mathfrak{I}(A) = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$, which proves (i). The isomorphism (31) gives an isomorphism of $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$-modules

$$\mathrm{End}_{H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})}(H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})) \cong \mathrm{End}_{(S^W)_\mathbf{k}}(S_\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{(S^W)_\mathbf{k}} H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k}).$$

It now follows from Proposition 1.9 and Theorem 2.5(ii) that the ∂_w form a basis of the $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})$-module $\mathrm{End}_{H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})}(H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k}))$. QED

2.7. **Example.** Let X be a point. It follows from Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 2.6 that for any $\mathbf{k}$ in which $t(G)$ is invertible

$$(S^W)_\mathbf{k} \cong H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}) \cong (S_\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}.$$

We shall see in Corollary 2.12 that $(S_\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})} = (S_\mathbf{k})^W$ under a mild condition on the root data.

In general, $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$ is a proper submodule of $H^*_T(X;\mathbf{k})^W$. (See Section 4 for examples.) Nevertheless, there are two useful sufficient criteria for the inclusion to be an equality, stated in Theorem 2.10 below. The second criterion (that the cardinality of the Weyl group be invertible in $\mathbf{k}$) is well-known, but it seems to us of some interest to deduce it from Theorem 2.6.

2.8. **Lemma.** *The notation and the hypotheses are as in Theorem* 2.5. *Define* $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k}$ *by* $\psi(u) = \partial_{w_0}(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}u)$ *for* $u \in S_\mathbf{k}$. *Let* J *be the left ideal of* $\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k}$ *generated by* ψ *and by all elements* $1 - w$ *for* $w \in W$. *Let* A *be a left* $\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k}$*-module.*

(i) ψ *projects* A *onto the* $(S^W)_\mathbf{k}$*-submodule* $A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$.
(ii) $A^W = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})} \oplus A^J$. *Hence* $A^W = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$ *if and only if* $A^J = 0$.

Proof. It follows from (23) that ∂_{w_0} maps $S_\mathbf{k}$ to $(S^W)_\mathbf{k}$ and hence that $\partial_w\partial_{w_0} = 0$ for all $w \neq 1$. Therefore $\partial_w(\psi(a)) = 0$ for all $a \in A$ and all $w \neq 1$, i.e. ψ maps A to $A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$. If $a \in A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$, then by Lemma 1.8

$$\psi(a) = \partial_{w_0}(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}a) = \partial_{w_0}(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0})a = a,$$

since $\partial_{w_0}(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}) = \mathfrak{S}_1 = 1$. This proves that $\psi(A) = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$ and $\psi^2 = \mathrm{id}_A$, which establishes (i). It follows from (i) that A is the direct sum of the $(S^W)_\mathbf{k}$-submodules $A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$ and $\ker(\psi)$. Moreover, it follows from (i) and from Lemma 1.11(ii) that ψ maps A^W into itself. Therefore A^W is the direct sum of $A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$ and $A^W \cap \ker(\psi) = A^J$. QED

For certain groups G it turns out that there exists an ideal $J(S_\mathbf{k})$ of $S_\mathbf{k}$ such that, for all $\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k}$-modules A, we have $A^W = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$ if and only if $A^{J(S_\mathbf{k})} = 0$. (See Section 4 for examples.) We do not know if this is true for all groups.

Recall that $d = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha \in S^N$ denotes the discriminant of G. We shall denote its image in $S^N_\mathbf{k}$ also by d.

2.9. **Lemma.** *The notation and the hypotheses are as in Lemma* 2.8.

(i) *The discriminant satisfies the identity*

$$d(1-\psi) = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w)w(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0})(1-w).$$

In particular, $d \in J$. *Hence* $A^W = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$ *if* d *is not a zero divisor in* A.

(ii) *Assume that* $|W|$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Choose the top-degree Schubert polynomial to be* $\mathfrak{S}_{w_0} = |W|^{-1}d$. *Then* ψ *is the projection map onto the* W*-invariants,*

$$\psi = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} w.$$

Hence $A^W = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$.

Proof. It follows from (23) that for all $u \in S_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi(u) = d\partial_{w_0}(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}u) &= \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) w(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}u) \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) w(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0})u + \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) w(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0})(w(u) - u) \\ &= d\partial_{w_0}(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0})u + \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) w(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0})(w - 1)(u) \\ &= du + \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) w(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0})(w - 1)(u). \end{aligned}$$

This identity shows that $d \in J$. Hence, if d is not a zero divisor in A, then $A^J = 0$, so $A^W = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$ by Lemma 2.8(ii). This proves (i). Assume $|W|$ is a unit in $\mathbf{k}$. Comparing (12) with (27) we see that $\mathfrak{S}_{w_0} = |W|^{-1}d$ is a valid choice for the top Schubert polynomial. It follows from (13) and the fact that d is antisymmetric that

$$\partial_{w_0}(du) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) w(du) = \sum_{w \in W} w(u)$$

for all $u \in S_{\mathbf{k}}$. Hence $\psi(u) = \partial_{w_0}(\mathfrak{S}_{w_0}u) = |W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} w(u)$. Lemma 2.8(i) now implies that $A^W = A^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$. QED

2.10. **Theorem.** *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Assume either that* d *is not a zero divisor in the* $S_{\mathbf{k}}$*-module* $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ *or that* $|W|$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Then* p_X^* *is an isomorphism from* $H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ *onto* $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^W$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.9. QED

2.11. **Corollary.** *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$ *and that the restriction map* $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k}) \to H_T^*(X^T;\mathbf{k})$ *is injective. In addition, if* G *has a root* α *such that* $\alpha/2 \in \mathcal{X}(T)$ (*i.e. if* G *contains a direct factor isomorphic to* $\mathbf{U}(n, \mathbf{H})$ *for some* $n \geq 1$), *assume that* $H^*(X^T;\mathbf{k})$ *has no 2-torsion. Then* $p_X^*\colon H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k}) \to H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^W$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let α be a root of G. If $\alpha/2$ is not a character of T, put $\xi_1 = \alpha$. If $\alpha/2$ is a character, put $\xi_1 = \alpha/2$. In either case, $\mathbf{Z}\xi_1$ is a direct summand of the character group $\mathcal{X}(T)$. Select characters ξ_2, $\xi_3, \dots, \xi_r$ such that $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{X}(T)$. Let $\eta_j = \xi_j \otimes 1_{\mathbf{k}}$ be the image of ξ_j in $\mathcal{X}(T)_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathcal{X}(T) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}$. Then $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_r)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{X}(T)_{\mathbf{k}}$, so $H_T^*(X^T;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(X^T;\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a polynomial algebra over $H^*(X^T;\mathbf{k})$ in the variables $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_r$. The element $\alpha \otimes 1_{\mathbf{k}}$ is equal to η_1 if $\alpha/2 \notin \mathcal{X}(T)$ and to $2\eta_1$ if $\alpha/2 \in \mathcal{X}(T)$. In either case, $\alpha \otimes 1_{\mathbf{k}}$ is not a zero divisor in $H_T^*(X^T;\mathbf{k})$. This implies that d is not a zero divisor in the submodule $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ of $H_T^*(X^T;\mathbf{k})$. The corollary now follows from Theorem 2.10. QED

The next corollary is a result stated in [13] under the marginally stronger assumption that 2 be invertible in $\mathbf{k}$. (Note, however, that Demazure's proof works equally well under our weaker assumptions.)

2.12. **Corollary** ([13, Corollaire au Théorème 2]). *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$. *In addition, if* G *has a root* α *such that* $\alpha/2 \in \mathcal{X}(T)$, *assume that* 2 *is not a zero divisor in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Then* $p^*\colon H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}) \to H^*(BT;\mathbf{k})^W = (S_{\mathbf{k}})^W$ *is an isomorphism. Thus*

$$(S_{\mathbf{k}})^W \cong H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(BG;\mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k} \cong (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Proof. The first statement follows from Corollary 2.11, applied to $X = \mathrm{pt}$. The other isomorphisms were established in Corollary 2.3. QED

3. ORTHOGONALITY

In this section we obtain a duality result based on material in [21, Chapter V]. As in the previous sections, we let X be a topological G-space and $\mathbf{k}$ a commutative ring. We fix a basis of the root system of (G,T) and relative to this basis define the operators ∂_w in Demazure's algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ as in (21). Define

$$\mathcal{B}_X(a_1,a_2) = \partial_{w_0}(a_1a_2)$$

for a_1, $a_2 \in H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$. It follows from the relations (24) that $\Delta\partial_{w_0} = 0$ for all Δ in the augmentation left ideal $I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})$, so this rule defines a pairing

$$\mathcal{B}_X\colon H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k}) \times H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k}) \to H_T^{*-2N}(X;\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}.$$

This pairing is graded symmetric in the sense that

$$\mathcal{B}_X(a_1,a_2) = (-1)^{k_1k_2}\mathcal{B}_X(a_2,a_1)$$

if a_1 is of degree k_1 and a_2 is of degree k_2. It follows from the product rule, Lemma 1.8, that $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$ is a subalgebra of $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ and that the pairing is bilinear over $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$ in the sense that it is additive in each variable and

$$\mathcal{B}_X(ba_1,a_2) = b\mathcal{B}_X(a_1,a_2), \qquad \mathcal{B}_X(a_1,a_2b) = \mathcal{B}_X(a_1,a_2)b$$

for all $b \in H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}})}$. It follows from the naturality of ∂_{w_0} that

$$\mathcal{B}_X(f_T^*(a_1), f_T^*(a_2)) = f_T^*\mathcal{B}_Y(a_1,a_2) \tag{32}$$

for a_1, $a_2 \in H_T^*(Y;\mathbf{k})$, where $f_T\colon X_T \to Y_T$ is the map induced by any G-equivariant continuous map $f\colon X \to Y$. The pairing may be degenerate, but nevertheless the operators ∂_w and w have adjoints.

3.1. **Lemma.** *Let* $w \in W$ *and* a_1, $a_2 \in H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$. *Then*

(i) $\mathcal{B}_X(\partial_w(a_1),a_2) = \mathcal{B}_X(a_1,\partial_{w^{-1}}(a_2))$,
(ii) $\mathcal{B}_X(w(a_1),a_2) = \det(w)\mathcal{B}_X(a_1,w^{-1}(a_2))$.

Proof. To prove (i), it is enough to show that $\mathcal{B}_X(\partial_{s_\alpha} a_1, a_2) = \mathcal{B}_X(a_1, \partial_{s_\alpha} a_2)$ for all simple roots α. By Proposition 1.4(ii), Lemma 1.6 and (24),

$$\mathcal{B}_X(\partial_{s_\alpha}(a_1), a_2) = \partial_{w_0 s_\alpha} \partial_{s_\alpha}(\partial_{s_\alpha}(a_1) a_2) = \partial_{w_0 s_\alpha}(\partial_{s_\alpha}(a_1) \partial_{s_\alpha}(a_2)).$$

This expression is graded symmetric in a_1 and a_2, so assuming that a_1 is of degree k_1 and a_2 is of degree k_2 we have

$$\mathcal{B}_X(\partial_{s_\alpha}(a_1), a_2) = (-1)^{k_1 k_2} \mathcal{B}_X(\partial_{s_\alpha}(a_2), a_1) = \mathcal{B}_X(a_1, \partial_{s_\alpha}(a_2)),$$

which proves (i). From this (ii) follows by using Proposition 1.4(i). QED

When G is the unitary group, the lifted Schubert classes $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w$ have a dual basis. This leads to a relative form of Poincaré duality for the fibre bundle $X_T \to X_G$.

3.2. **Proposition.** *Let* $G = \mathbf{U}(l+1)$. *Then the pairing*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon H_T^*(X; \mathbf{k}) \times H_T^*(X; \mathbf{k}) \to H_G^{*-2N}(X; \mathbf{k})$$

defined by $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle = p_{X,*}(a_1 a_2)$ *is nonsingular. Hence*

$$H_T^*(X; \mathbf{k}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})}\big(H_T^*(X; \mathbf{k}), H_G^{*-2N}(X; \mathbf{k})\big)$$

as graded left $H_G^*(X; \mathbf{k})$*-modules.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{S} = \varepsilon_1^l \varepsilon_2^{l-1} \cdots \varepsilon_l$ be the top Schubert polynomial, where ε_k is the character of the diagonal maximal torus of G defined by

$$\varepsilon_k \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & t_{l+1} \end{pmatrix} = t_k. \tag{33}$$

For each $w \in W$, define $\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w \in H_T^{2l(w)}(X; \mathbf{k})$ as in (29) and define

$$\bar{\mathfrak{S}}^w = \det(w w_0) w_0(\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{w w_0}).$$

Applying (32) to the constant map $X \to \mathrm{pt}$, we find

$$\mathcal{B}_X(\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w, \bar{\mathfrak{S}}^{w'}) = \mathcal{B}_X(\mathrm{pr}_T^*(\mathfrak{S}_w), \mathrm{pr}_T^*(\mathfrak{S}^{w'})) = \mathrm{pr}_T^* \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\mathfrak{S}_w, \mathfrak{S}^{w'}) = \delta_{w,w'}$$

for all w, $w' \in W$, where the last equality follows from [21, V(5.5)]. This shows that the pairing $\mathcal{B}_X$ is nonsingular. Since $p_X^*(\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle) = \mathcal{B}_X(a_1, a_2)$ and p_X^* is an isomorphism from $H_G^*(X; \mathbf{k}))$ onto $H_T^*(X; \mathbf{k})^{I(\mathcal{D}_\mathbf{k})}$ (Theorem 2.6), the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is nonsingular as well. QED

This result is presumably true for arbitrary G, provided that the torsion index is a unit in $\mathbf{k}$, but we do not know if the Schubert polynomials can be chosen so that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\mathfrak{S}_w, \mathfrak{S}^{w'}) = \delta_{w,w'}$.

4. Weyl invariants for groups of low rank

In this section we calculate, for a few groups G of low rank, $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})^W$ as a module over $H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$. We do this by using Proposition 2.2, which says that $H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ is a free $H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$-module with basis $(\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_w)_{w\in W}$. (We consider only coefficient rings $\mathbf{k}$ in which the torsion index of G is invertible.) Finding the Weyl invariants is then a matter of calculating the matrices of the simple reflections with respect to this basis and solving linear equations. The results are summarized in the table below.

Here we write $A = H_T^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ and $B = H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k})$ and identify B with the subalgebra $p_X^*(B)$ of A. We write B^I for the submodule of B annihilated by an ideal I of $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$. To save space, instead of A^W we give the B-submodule A^J, where J is the ideal of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$ defined in Lemma 2.8. (Recall that $A^W = B \oplus A^J$ by Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 2.6.)

The rightmost column of the table shows a certain ideal $J(S_{\mathbf{k}})$ of $S_{\mathbf{k}}$, which has the property that $A^W = B$ if and only if $A^{J(S_{\mathbf{k}})} = 0$. This ideal is found as follows. Upon examining the third column one sees that, for each of the groups we consider, there exists an ideal K of $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $A^W = B$ if and only if $B^K = 0$. For instance, $K = (2, p_1)$ for $G = \mathbf{U}(2)$, $K = (2, p_1p_2 + p_3)$ for $G = \mathbf{U}(3)$, and $K = (2)$ for $G = \mathbf{U}(2,\mathbf{H})$. We define $J(S_{\mathbf{k}})$ to be the ideal of $S_{\mathbf{k}}$ generated by K. This has the desired property, because $S_{\mathbf{k}}$, being a free $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$-module, is faithfully flat over $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$. It follows from this that $K = (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}} \cap J(S_{\mathbf{k}})$ and $A^{J(S_{\mathbf{k}})} = S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} B^K$. Thus $B^K = 0$ if and only if $A^{J(S_{\mathbf{k}})} = 0$. Observe that $d \in J(S_{\mathbf{k}})$ in all cases.

G	$t(G)$	A^J	$J(S_{\mathbf{k}})$
$\mathbf{U}(2)$	1	$B^{(2,p_1)} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{w_0}$	$(2, d)$
$\mathbf{U}(3)$	1	$B^{(2,p_1p_2+p_3)} \cdot (\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{w_0} + p_1\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_2s_1} + p_2\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_2} + p_1^2\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_1})$	$(2, d)$
$\mathbf{SU}(2)$	1	$B^{(2)} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{w_0}$	(2)
$\mathbf{SU}(3)$	1	$B^{(2,q_3)} \cdot (\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{w_0} + q_2\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_2})$	$(2, d)$
$\mathbf{SO}(3)$	2	0	(1)
$\mathbf{PSU}(3)$	3	$B^{(2,q_3)} \cdot (\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{w_0} + q_2\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_2})$	$(2, d)$
$\mathbf{U}(2,\mathbf{H})$	1	$B^{(2,p_1)} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{w_0} \oplus B^{(2)} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_2s_1s_2} \oplus B^{(2)} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_1s_2}$ $\oplus B^{(2,p_1)} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_2s_1} \oplus B^{(2)} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_{s_2}$	(2)

We use the notation of [9, Chapitres IV–VI, Planches I–IX] for roots and weights. The other notations, as well as a few computational details, are explained in the discussion below.

$\mathbf{U}(2)$ and $\mathbf{U}(3)$. Let $G = \mathbf{U}(l+1)$, let T be the diagonal maximal torus and let ε_1, $\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{l+1}$ be the basis elements of $\mathcal{X}(T)$ defined in (33). Let $\mathfrak{S} = \varepsilon_1^l \varepsilon_2^{l-1} \cdots \varepsilon_l$. By [13, Section 5], $i^*(\mathfrak{S}) = i^*(d)/(l+1)! \in H^{2N}(G/T;\mathbf{Z})$, so $t(G) = 1$ and the coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$ is arbitrary. The Schubert polynomials $\mathfrak{S}_w = \partial_{w^{-1}w_0}(\mathfrak{S})$ form a basis of the S^W-module S and the algebra S^W is freely generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l+1}$. For $\mathbf{U}(2)$ the Schubert polynomials are $\mathfrak{S}_s = \mathfrak{S} = \varepsilon_1$ and $\mathfrak{S}_1 = 1$, where s denotes the generator of W, which acts on $\mathcal{X}(T)$

by interchanging ε_1 and ε_2. The matrix of s with respect to the basis $(\mathfrak{S}_s, 1)$ is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ p_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is also the matrix of s with respect to the basis $(\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_s, 1)$ of the B-module A. Thus an element of A of the form $c_1\bar{\mathfrak{S}}_s + c_2$ with c_1, $c_2 \in B$ is W-invariant if and only if $M\binom{c_1}{c_2} = \binom{c_1}{c_2}$, which is the case if and only if $2c_1 = p_1c_1 = 0$. The upshot is $A^W = B^{(2,p_1)} \cdot \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_s \oplus B$. For $\mathbf{U}(3)$ the Schubert polynomials are

$$\mathfrak{S}_{w_0} = \mathfrak{S} = \varepsilon_1^2\varepsilon_2, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{s_1s_2} = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{s_2s_1} = \varepsilon_1^2,$$
$$\mathfrak{S}_{s_2} = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{s_1} = \varepsilon_1, \quad \mathfrak{S}_1 = 1,$$

where s_1 and s_2 denote the reflections in the roots $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ and $\alpha_2 = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3$. The matrices of s_1 and s_2 with respect to the Schubert basis $(\mathfrak{S}_w)_{w\in W}$ are

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p_1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -p_3 & 0 & -p_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ p_2 & p_1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -p_3 & 0 & 0 & p_1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To compute A^W one now solves the linear equations $M_1\vec{c} = M_2\vec{c} = \vec{c}$ for a column vector $\vec{c} = {}^t(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6)$ with entries in B.

$\mathbf{SU}(2)$ and $\mathbf{SU}(3)$. Similar results for $G = \mathbf{SU}(l + 1)$ are obtained by restricting the computations done for $\mathbf{U}(l + 1)$ to the maximal torus of G, i.e. by replacing ε_k with $\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_0$, where $\varepsilon_0 = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{l+1})/(l + 1)$. We denote by q_k the restriction to $\mathcal{X}(T)$ of the elementary symmetric polynomial p_k. (In particular, $q_1 = 0$.) By [13, Section 5], we have $t(G) = 1$, so again we can use any coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$.

$\mathbf{SO}(3)$ and $\mathbf{PSU}(3)$. Let $G = \mathbf{PSU}(l+1)$, the adjoint form of $\tilde{G} = \mathbf{SU}(l+1)$, and let T be the image in G of the diagonal maximal torus $\tilde{T}$ of $\tilde{G}$. The character group $\mathcal{X}(T)$ has index $l+1$ in $\mathcal{X}(\tilde{T})$ and, by [26, Section 2], $t(G) = l+1$. Accordingly, we can let $\mathbf{k}$ be any commutative ring in which $l+1$ is invertible. Then the canonical map $S(\mathcal{X}(T))_{\mathbf{k}} \to S(\mathcal{X}(\tilde{T}))_{\mathbf{k}}$ is an isomorphism, so the calculations done above for $\tilde{G}$ carry over directly to G.

$\mathbf{U}(2, \mathbf{H})$. Let $G = \mathbf{U}(2, \mathbf{H})$. We regard $\mathbf{U}(2)$ as a subgroup of G; then the diagonal maximal torus T of $\mathbf{U}(2)$ is also maximal in G. By [13, Section 5], G has torsion index 1, so we can use any coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$. The Schubert polynomials are

$$\mathfrak{S}_{w_0} = \mathfrak{S} = \varepsilon_1^3\varepsilon_2, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{s_2s_1s_2} = \varepsilon_1^2\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2^2, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{s_1s_2s_1} = \varepsilon_1^3,$$
$$\mathfrak{S}_{s_1s_2} = \varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_2^2, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{s_2s_1} = \varepsilon_1^2, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{s_2} = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{s_1} = \varepsilon_1, \quad \mathfrak{S}_1 = 1,$$

where s_1 and s_2 denote the reflections in the roots $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$ and $\alpha_2 = 2\varepsilon_2$. The algebra S^W is freely generated by $p_1 = \varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2$ and $p_2 = \varepsilon_1^2\varepsilon_2^2$. The matrices of s_1 and s_2 are

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -p_1^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and again one finds A^W by solving the equations $M_1\vec{c} = M_2\vec{c} = \vec{c}$.

5. HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

It is instructive to spell out some of the consequences of the results of Section 2 for the ordinary and equivariant cohomology of homogeneous spaces. As in the previous sections, G denotes a compact connected Lie group with maximal torus T and Weyl group W, and $\mathbf{k}$ denotes a commutative ring with identity. We consider a fixed closed subgroup U of G.

5.1. **Proposition.** *Assume that* $t(G)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Then there are* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra isomorphisms*

$$H_U^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}) \cong H_T^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} H^*(BU;\mathbf{k}).$$

Hence $H_U^*(G/T;\mathbf{k})$ *is a free* $H^*(BU;\mathbf{k})$*-module of rank* $|W|$ *with generators of even degree.*

Proof. Since T and U act freely on G,

$$H_U^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}) \cong H_{U\times T}^*(G;\mathbf{k}) \cong H_T^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}).$$

The induction formula (see e.g. [25, Section 1]) says that for any U-space Y there is a natural $\mathbf{k}$-algebra isomorphism $H_U^*(Y;\mathbf{k}) \to H_G^*(G \times^U Y;\mathbf{k})$ which is linear over $H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})$. Taking $Y = \mathrm{pt}$ gives $H^*(BU;\mathbf{k}) \cong H_G^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$. We conclude, by Proposition 2.2(ii) and Corollary 2.3(i), that

$$H_T^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} H_G^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} H^*(BU;\mathbf{k}).$$

The last assertion now follows from Proposition 2.2(i). QED

Now let us assume U to be connected and choose a maximal torus T_U of U which is contained in T. We denote by W_U the Weyl group of (U, T_U) and by S_U the symmetric algebra of the character group $\mathcal{X}(T_U)$.

5.2. **Corollary.** *Assume that* U *is connected and that both* $t(G)$ *and* $t(U)$ *are units in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Then* $H_T^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ *is a free* $\mathbf{k}$*-module concentrated in even degrees, finitely generated in each degree. Moreover, there is a* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$H_T^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong \bigl(S \otimes_{S^W} (S_U)^{W_U}\bigr)_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from the fact that $H_T^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ is free and finitely generated over $H^*(BU;\mathbf{k})$ with even degree generators (Proposition 5.1) and the fact that $H^*(BU;\mathbf{k})$ is free over $\mathbf{k}$ with even degree generators and finitely generated in each degree (Corollary 2.3(i)). The second assertion follows immediately from Proposition 5.1 by applying Corollary 2.3(i) to the groups G and U, and by using

$$(S \otimes \mathbf{k}) \otimes_{S^W \otimes \mathbf{k}} \big((S_U)^{W_U} \otimes \mathbf{k}\big) \cong \big(S \otimes_{S^W} (S_U)^{W_U}\big) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}.$$

QED

5.3. **Example.** Setting $U = T$ we obtain $H^*_T(G/T;\mathbf{k}) \cong (S \otimes_{S^W} S)_{\mathbf{k}}$ for any $\mathbf{k}$ in which the torsion index of G is invertible.

Next we record a few consequences of Theorem 2.6.

5.4. **Lemma.** *Assume that* U *is connected and that* $t(U)$ *is a unit in* $\mathbf{k}$.

- (i) *Let* I_U *be the augmentation left ideal of the algebra of* $(S_U)^{W_U} \otimes \mathbf{k}$*-linear endomorphisms of* $S_U \otimes \mathbf{k}$. *Then the projection* $G/T_U \to G/U$ *induces a* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra isomorphism* $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(G/T_U;\mathbf{k})^{I_U}$.
- (ii) *Assume that* U *has maximal rank. Let* $\mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbf{Z}[t(U)^{-1}]$. *Then*

$$H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}_0) \cong H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}_0)^{W_U}.$$

Hence $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ *is a finitely generated free* $\mathbf{k}$*-module concentrated in even degrees.*

Proof. Theorem 2.6 implies that $H^*(X/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(X/T_U;\mathbf{k})^{I_U}$ for all free U-spaces X. Taking $X = G$ proves (i). If U has maximal rank, then $T_U = T$. Since the ring $\mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbf{Z}[t(U)^{-1}]$ is principal of characteristic 0, the isomorphism in (ii) follows from Proposition 2.1(ii) and the fact that $H^*_T(G;\mathbf{k}_0) = H^*(G/T;\mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{k}_0$ is a finitely generated free $\mathbf{k}_0$-module concentrated in even degrees. Hence $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}_0)$, being isomorphic to a submodule of $H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}_0)$, is likewise finitely generated, free and concentrated in even degrees. Therefore, by the universal coefficient theorem, the same is true for $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}_0) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_0} \mathbf{k}$. QED

This result is not optimal in all cases. For instance, it is known that if U is the centralizer of a subtorus of a maximal torus T of G, then $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{Z}) \cong H^*(G/T;\mathbf{Z})^{W_U}$, regardless of the torsion index of U. (A proof can be found in [8, Theorem III″] or [5, Theorem 5.5].)

For subgroups of maximal rank we can now give a presentation of the ordinary cohomology of the homogeneous space. For coefficients in a field $\mathbf{k}$, the isomorphism (35) can be found in Baum's paper [4] and Gugenheim and May's monograph [17].

5.5. **Theorem.** *Assume that* U *is connected and of maximal rank and that both* $t(G)$ *and* $t(U)$ *are units in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Then we have* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra isomorphisms*

$$H^*_T(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong (S \otimes_{S^W} S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{34}$$

$$H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong \big(S^{W_U}/S^W_+\big)_{\mathbf{k}}, \tag{35}$$

where S^W_+ *denotes the ideal of* S^{W_U} *generated by the* W*-invariant elements of* S *of positive degree.*

Proof. The isomorphism (34) follows from Corollary 5.2. For the isomorphism (35) we use $H^*(BG;\mathbf{k}) \cong (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $H^*(BU;\mathbf{k}) \cong (S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}$ to get

$$\big(S^{W_U}/S^W_+\big)_{\mathbf{k}} \cong (S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{k} \cong H^*(BU;\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})} \mathbf{k}.$$

It follows from Corollary 2.3(i) and Lemma 5.4(ii) that the cohomology groups of BG and of G/U (with coefficients in $\mathbf{k}$) are free and vanish in odd degrees. This shows that the Leray spectral sequence of the fibre bundle $G/U \to BU \to BG$ degenerates at the E_2 term, which implies that $H^*(BU;\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{H^*(BG;\mathbf{k})} \mathbf{k}$ is isomorphic to $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$. QED

(A comment on the hypotheses of Theorem 5.5: in all examples of maximal rank subgroups U that we have checked, every prime factor of $t(U)$ is also a prime factor of $t(G)$, and therefore $t(U)$ is a unit in $\mathbf{k}$ if $t(G)$ is. But we do not know if this is true for all U.)

If $G = \mathbf{SU}(n, \mathbf{C})$ or $G = \mathbf{U}(n, \mathbf{H})$, then every closed connected maximal rank subgroup U has torsion index 1 (this follows for example from the information in [23, Table 5.1]), so Theorem 5.5 applies to any U and to any coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$. If G is any covering group of $\mathbf{SO}(n, \mathbf{R})$ with $n \geq 3$, then the torsion index of every U is a power of 2, so Theorem 5.5 applies to any U and to any coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$ which is an algebra over $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$.

It follows from Theorem 5.5 that the homogeneous space G/U is "T-equivariantly formal" over $\mathbf{k}$: every cohomology class on G/U extends to a T-equivariant class.

5.6. **Corollary.** *The notation and the hypotheses are as in Theorem* 5.5. *There is a* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra isomorphism* $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*_T(G/U;\mathbf{k})/(S_+)_{\mathbf{k}}$*, where* $(S_+)_{\mathbf{k}}$ *denotes the ideal of* $H^*_T(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ *generated by polynomials of positive degree.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) &\cong (S^{W_U} \otimes_{S^W} \mathbf{Z})_{\mathbf{k}} \cong (S^{W_U} \otimes_{S^W} S \otimes_S \mathbf{Z})_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &\cong H^*_T(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{S_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{k} \cong H^*_T(G/U;\mathbf{k})/(S_+)_{\mathbf{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

QED

The fibre bundle $G/U \to BU \to BG$ is the right edge of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & G/U \arrow[dr, "\bar{\jmath}"] & & \\
 & G/T \arrow[ur, "q"] \arrow[dr, "i"] & & BU \arrow[ddr, "\bar{q}"] & \\
 & & BT \arrow[ur, "p_U"] \arrow[drr, "p"] & & \\
U/T \arrow[uur, "j"] \arrow[urr, "i_U"] & & & & BG,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{36}$$

in which each of the four straight paths represents a locally trivial fibre bundle. As we have seen, under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.5 the Leray spectral sequences of the two bundles at the bottom and of the right edge collapse. Our next result gives a formula for a section of the restriction homomorphism $\bar{\jmath}^*$ induced by the inclusion map $\bar{\jmath}\colon G/U \hookrightarrow BU$ indicated in (36). In the course of proving this formula we will see that the restriction map j^* induced by the inclusion $j\colon U/T \to G/T$ is surjective, thus proving that the spectral sequence of the left edge collapses as well.

5.7. **Lemma.** *The notation and the hypotheses are as in Theorem* 5.5 *and in the diagram* (36)*. A section of* $\bar{\jmath}^*$ *is given by the map*

$$s_U = s \circ q^* \colon H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \to (S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where $s\colon H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}) \to (S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$ *is the section of* i^* *defined in* (30)*. As an* $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$*-module,* $(S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}$ *is free of rank* $|W|/|W_U|$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{S}_w \in H^*(BT;\mathbf{k})$ be the classes defined in (29), but with $w \in W_U$ and with the operators ∂_w defined relative to a set of positive roots in the root system of (U,T). Then the classes $(i_U^*(\mathfrak{S}_w))_{w\in W_U}$ form a $\mathbf{k}$-basis of $H^*(U/T;\mathbf{k})$ and, since $i \circ j = i_U$, they are the restrictions of the classes $(i^*(\mathfrak{S}_w))_{w\in W_U}$ to U/T under the inclusion j. Therefore j^* is surjective. Moreover, $H^*(U/T;\mathbf{k})$ is free and finitely generated, so the Leray-Hirsch theorem applies to the left edge of (36). We conclude that there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(BT;\mathbf{k}) &\cong H^*(BU;\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} H^*(U/T;\mathbf{k}), \\ H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k}) &\cong H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} H^*(U/T;\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

expressing $H^*(BT;\mathbf{k})$ as a free module over $H^*(BU;\mathbf{k})$ with basis $(\mathfrak{S}_w)_{w\in W_U}$, and $H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k})$ as a free module over $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ with basis $(i^*(\mathfrak{S}_w))_{w\in W_U}$. Now let $z \in H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ and let $a = s_U(z) = s(q^*(z)) \in H^*(BT;\mathbf{k})$. Then $i^*(a) = q^*(z)$. Expanding $a = \sum_{w\in W_U} x_w \mathfrak{S}_w$ in terms of the basis elements $\mathfrak{S}_w$ with coefficients $x_w \in H^*(BU;\mathbf{k})$ and applying i^* gives

$$q^*(z) = i^*(a) = \sum_{w\in W_U} i^*(x_w \mathfrak{S}_w) = \sum_{w\in W_U} \bar{\jmath}^*(x_w) i^*(\mathfrak{S}_w). \tag{38}$$

On the other hand, expanding $q^*(z)$ in terms of the basis elements $i^*(\mathfrak{S}_w)$ with coefficients in $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ gives $q^*(z) = z \cdot 1 = z \cdot i^*(\mathfrak{S}_1)$. Upon comparing this formula with (38) we find $\bar{\jmath}^*(x_w) = 0$ for $w \neq 1$ and $\bar{\jmath}^*(x_1) = z$. Hence $a = x_1$ is contained in the submodule $H^*(BU;\mathbf{k})$ of $H^*(BT;\mathbf{k})$ and $\bar{\jmath}^*(a) = z$. This shows that $\bar{\jmath}^* s_U(z) = z$, i.e. s_U is a section of $\bar{\jmath}^*$. Let $(a_i)_{i\in\mathcal{J}}$ be a basis of the $\mathbf{k}$-module $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$, which is free and finitely generated by Lemma 5.4(ii). By (37), $H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k})$ is free over $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ of rank $|W_U|$. Moreover, $H^*(G/T;\mathbf{k})$ is free over $\mathbf{k}$ of rank $|W|$, so $|\mathcal{J}| = |W|/|W_U|$. By the Leray-Hirsch theorem (applied to the right edge of (36)), $(s_U(a_i))_{i\in\mathcal{J}}$ is a basis of the $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$-module $(S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}$, and therefore $(S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}$ is free of rank $|W|/|W_U|$. QED

We can now prove versions of Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.6 relative to the subgroup U: G-equivariant cohomology determines U-equivariant cohomology and vice versa. As before, X denotes a topological G-space.

5.8. **Theorem.** *The hypotheses are as in Theorem* 5.5*. Let* $I_{G,U}$ *be the augmentation left ideal of the algebra of* $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$*-linear endomorphisms of* $(S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}$*. Then we have* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra isomorphisms*

$$H_U^*(X;\mathbf{k}) \cong (S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k}), \tag{39}$$

$$H_G^*(X;\mathbf{k}) \cong H_U^*(X;\mathbf{k})^{I_{G,U}}. \tag{40}$$

Proof. Define

$$\bar{s}_U \colon H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \to H^*_U(X;\mathbf{k})$$

by $\bar{s}_U = \mathrm{pr}^*_U \circ s_U$, where $\mathrm{pr}_U \colon X_U \to BU$ is the natural projection and s_U is as in Lemma 5.7. Then $\bar{s}_U$ is a section of the restriction map $H^*_U(X;\mathbf{k}) \to H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a $\mathbf{k}$-basis of $H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ as in the proof of Lemma 5.7. Applying the Leray-Hirsch theorem to the fibre bundle $G/U \to X_T \to X_U$, we conclude that $\{\bar{s}_U(a_i)\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a basis of $H^*_U(X;\mathbf{k})$ as a $H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k})$-module. The natural homomorphism

$$(S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}} H^*_G(X;\mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow H^*_U(X;\mathbf{k})$$

maps the basis element $s_U(a_i) \otimes 1$ of the module on the left to the basis element $\bar{s}_U(a_i)$ of the module on the right and therefore is an isomorphism. This proves (39). It follows from Lemma 5.7 that $(S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a progenerator of the category of $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}}$-modules. The isomorphism (40) now follows from (39) by applying the first Morita equivalence theorem as in the proof of Theorem 2.6. QED

In particular, we find a U-equivariant version of the isomorphism (34).

5.9. **Corollary.** *The hypotheses are as in Theorem* 5.5. *We have a* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$H^*_U(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong (S^{W_U} \otimes_{S^W} S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 5.8 by taking $X = G/U$ and using $H^*_G(G/U;\mathbf{k}) \cong H^*(BU;\mathbf{k}) \cong (S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}}$. QED

5.10. **Corollary.** *The hypotheses are as in Theorem* 5.5. *In addition, if* G *has a root* α *such that* $\alpha/2 \in \mathcal{X}(T)$, *assume that* 2 *is not a zero divisor in* $\mathbf{k}$. *Then we have* $\mathbf{k}$*-algebra isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H^*_U(G/U;\mathbf{k}) &\cong (S_{\mathbf{k}})^{W_U} \otimes_{(S_{\mathbf{k}})^W} (S_{\mathbf{k}})^{W_U}, \\ H^*_T(G/U;\mathbf{k}) &\cong S_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{(S_{\mathbf{k}})^W} (S_{\mathbf{k}})^{W_U}, \\ H^*(G/U;\mathbf{k}) &\cong (S_{\mathbf{k}})^{W_U}/I_{\mathbf{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The root system of (U,T) is a subset of the root system of (G,T), so Corollary 2.12 applies to both G and U, telling us that $(S^W)_{\mathbf{k}} = (S_{\mathbf{k}})^W$ and $(S^{W_U})_{\mathbf{k}} = (S_{\mathbf{k}})^{W_U}$. The result now follows from Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 5.9. QED

5.11. **Example.** Let $G = \mathbf{U}(n,\mathbf{H})$ and let U be the diagonal subgroup $\mathbf{U}(1,\mathbf{H})^n$. Then $t(G) = t(U) = 1$, so Corollary 5.9 applies to any coefficient ring $\mathbf{k}$. The space G/U is the variety of full flags of the quaternionic vector space $\mathbf{H}^n$. Let $T = \mathbf{U}(1,\mathbf{C})^n$ be the diagonal maximal torus of G and U. Then $W_U \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^n$ acts by sign changes on each of the coordinates of $\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathbf{R}^n$, and $W \cong W' \ltimes W_U$ acts by signed permutations on $\mathfrak{t}$, where $W' = S_n$. We have $S = \mathbf{Z}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$, a polynomial ring in variables y_i of degree 2. Let $S' = S^{W_U}$ and $x_i = y_i^2$. Then $S' = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$, so we obtain

$$H^*_U(G/U;\mathbf{Z}) \cong S' \otimes_{(S')^{W'}} S' \cong (S' \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} S')/I.$$

Here I is the ideal of $S'\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}S'$ generated by all elements of the form $u\otimes 1-1\otimes u$, where $u \in S'$ is a symmetric polynomial in the variables x_i. Cf. Mare's paper [22], where this algebra is computed by a different method. The cohomology $H^*_U(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ is now found by tensoring $(S'\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} S')/I$ with $\mathbf{k}$. Observe also that $H^*_U(G/U;\mathbf{k})$ is *not* isomorphic to $(S_{\mathbf{k}})^{W_U} \otimes_{(S_{\mathbf{k}})^W} (S_{\mathbf{k}})^{W_U}$ if $\mathbf{k}$ has characteristic 2. This shows that the conditions of Corollary 5.10 cannot be weakened.

REFERENCES

1. E. Akyıldız and J. Carrell, *Zeros of holomorphic vector fields and the Gysin homomorphism*, Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, 1981) (Peter Orlik, ed.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, pp. 47–54.
2. C. Allday and V. Puppe, *Cohomological methods in transformation groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 32, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
3. A. Arabia, *Cycles de Schubert et cohomologie équivariante de* K/T, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 1, 39–52.
4. P. Baum, *On the cohomology of homogeneous spaces*, Topology **7** (1968), 15–38.
5. I. Bernstein, I. Gelfand, and S. Gelfand, *Schubert cells and the cohomology of the spaces* G/P, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **28** (1973), no. 3(171), 3–26 (Russian), English translation in Russian Math. Surveys **28** (1973), no. 3, 1–26.
6. A. Borel, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), 115–207.
7. ———, *Sous-groupes commutatifs et torsion des groupes de Lie compacts connexes*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **13** (1961), no. 3, 216–240.
8. R. Bott and H. Samelson, *Applications of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 964–1029, *Correction*, ibid. **83** (1961), 207–208.
9. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Éléments de mathématique, Diffusion CCLS, Paris, 1971, 1972, Masson, Paris, 1981, 1982, 1990.
10. P. Bressler and S. Evens, *The Schubert calculus, braid relations, and generalized cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **317** (1990), no. 2, 799–811.
11. M. Brion, *Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions*, Transform. Groups. **2** (1997), no. 3, 225–267.
12. ———, *Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory*, Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montréal, PQ, 1997) (A. Broer and A. Daigneault, eds.), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 514, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998, notes by A. Rittatore, pp. 1–37.
13. M. Demazure, *Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 287–301.
14. ———, *Désingularisation des variétés de Schubert généralisées*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 53–88.
15. M. Feshbach, *The image of* $H^*(BG,\mathbf{Z})$ *in* $H^*(BT,\mathbf{Z})$ *for* G *a compact Lie group with maximal torus* T, Topology **20** (1981), no. 1, 93–95.
16. A. Grothendieck, *Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles*, Séminaire C. Chevalley; 2e année. Anneaux de Chow et applications, Secrétariat mathématique, 11 rue Pierre Curie, Paris, 1958.
17. V. Gugenheim and J. May, *On the theory and applications of differential torsion products*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 142, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1974.
18. W.-Y. Hsiang, *Cohomology theory of topological transformation groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 85, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
19. B. Kostant and S. Kumar, *The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of* G/P *for a Kac-Moody group* G, Adv. in Math. **62** (1986), no. 3, 187–237.
20. T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 189, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
21. I. Macdonald, *Notes on Schubert polynomials*, Publications du Laboratoire de Combinatoire et d'Informatique Mathématique, vol. 6, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, 1991.
22. A. Mare, *Equivariant cohomology of quaternionic flag manifolds*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), no. 7, 2830–2844.

23. M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Topology of Lie groups, I and II*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 91, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.
24. I. Newton, *Philosophiae naturalis principia mathematica*, first ed., Royal Society, London, 1687.
25. D. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **94** (1971), no. 3, 549–572, *Part II*, ibid. 573–602.
26. B. Totaro, *The torsion index of* E_8 *and other groups*, Duke Math. J. **129** (2005), no. 2, 219–248.
27. ———, *The torsion index of the spin groups*, Duke Math. J. **129** (2005), no. 2, 249–290.

E-mail address: `tsh@math.cornell.edu`

E-mail address: `sjamaar@math.cornell.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-4201, USA